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# PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED) 

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS



CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

|  |  | For the Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2003 |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans, including fees | \$ | 27,136 | \$ | 23,813 | \$ | 53,386 | \$ | 47,633 |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable |  | 15,659 |  | 12,802 |  | 31,387 |  | 25,186 |
| Tax-preferred |  | 3,656 |  | 4,021 |  | 7,626 |  | 8,150 |
| Total investment income |  | 19,315 |  | 16,823 |  | 39,013 |  | 33,336 |
| Federal funds sold |  | 1 |  | 28 |  | 3 |  | 40 |
| Total interest income |  | 46,452 |  | 40,664 |  | 92,402 |  | 81,009 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 3,605 |  | 4,266 |  | 7,288 |  | 8,782 |
| Borrowings |  | 5,610 |  | 5,851 |  | 10,984 |  | 10,441 |
| Junior subordinated debentures |  | 1,330 |  | -- |  | 2,660 |  | -- |
| Total interest expense |  | 10,545 |  | 10,117 |  | 20,932 |  | 19,223 |
| Net interest income before provision for credit losses |  | 35,907 |  | 30,547 |  | 71,470 |  | 61,786 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses |  | 35,907 |  | 30,547 |  | 71,470 |  | 61,786 |
| Other operating income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts |  | 3,512 |  | 3,749 |  | 7,305 |  | 7,445 |
| Wealth Management services |  | 1,111 |  | 925 |  | 2,274 |  | 1,972 |
| Investment services |  | 427 |  | 382 |  | 802 |  | 788 |
| Bankcard services |  | 401 |  | 314 |  | 826 |  | 649 |
| Other |  | 1,347 |  | 1,081 |  | 2,673 |  | 1,692 |
| Impairment charge on investment securities |  | -- |  | -- |  | $(6,300)$ |  | -- |
| Gain on sale of securities, net |  | 5,212 |  | 29 |  | 5,212 |  | 823 |
| Total other operating income |  | 12,010 |  | 6,480 |  | 12,792 |  | 13,369 |
| Other operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 11,610 |  | 9,907 |  | 23,352 |  | 19,895 |
| Occupancy |  | 1,907 |  | 1,621 |  | 3,680 |  | 3,172 |
| Equipment |  | 1,855 |  | 1,579 |  | 3,711 |  | 3,071 |
| Stationary and supplies |  | 1,185 |  | 1,184 |  | 2,404 |  | 2,283 |
| Professional services |  | 1,001 |  | 1,302 |  | 2,122 |  | 1,983 |
| Promotion |  | 1,240 |  | 1,036 |  | 2,760 |  | 2,165 |
| Data processing |  | 377 |  | 244 |  | 730 |  | 547 |
| Amortization of intangibles |  | 296 |  | 203 |  | 592 |  | 315 |
| Other |  | 1,533 |  | 782 |  | 3,158 |  | 2,166 |
| Total other operating expenses |  | 21,004 |  | 17,858 |  | 42,509 |  | 35,597 |
| Earnings before income taxes |  | 26,913 |  | 19,169 |  | 41,753 |  | 39,558 |
| Income taxes |  | 9,462 |  | 6,649 |  | 14,230 |  | 14,334 |
| Net earnings | \$ | 17,451 | \$ | 12,520 | \$ | 27,523 | \$ | 25,224 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ | 0.36 | \$ | 0.26 | \$ | 0.57 | \$ | 0.52 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ | 0.36 | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | 0.56 | \$ | 0.51 |
| Cash dividends per common share | \$ | 0.12 | \$ | 0.12 | \$ | 0.24 | \$ | 0.24 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

## CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Unaudited)

|  | Common Shares Outstanding | Common Stock |  | Retained Earnings |  | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax |  | Comprehensive Income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (amounts and shares in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance January 1, 2003 | 43,533 | \$ | 146,449 | \$ | 87,716 | \$ | 25,656 |  |  |
| Issuance of common stock | 317 |  | 989 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10\% stock dividend | 4,387 |  | 75,990 |  | $(75,990)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Repurchase of common stock | (349) |  | (615) |  | $(6,438)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Shares issued for acquisition of Kaweah National Bank | 401 |  | 7,904 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax benefit from exercise of stock options |  |  | 2,242 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends |  |  |  |  | $(21,638)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings |  |  |  |  | 52,832 |  |  | \$ | 52,832 |
| Other comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale, net |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(8,376)$ |  | $(8,376)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 44,456 |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance December 31, 2003 | 48,289 |  | 232,959 |  | 36,482 |  | 17,280 |  |  |
| Issuance of common stock | 183 |  | 444 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repurchase of common stock | (100) |  | (158) |  | $(1,837)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends |  |  |  |  | $(11,687)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings |  |  |  |  | 27,523 |  |  | \$ | 27,523 |
| Other comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale, net of taxes$(\$ 14,591)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(20,150)$ |  | $(20,150)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 7,373 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance June 30, 2004 | 48,372 | \$ | 233,245 | \$ | 50,481 | \$ | $(2,870)$ |  |  |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.
The Company reported net unrealized losses on securities available-for-sale of $\$ 3.1$ million, net of $\$ 2.2$ million of tax for the six months ended June 30 , 2003. Accumulated other comprehensive income as of June 30, 2003 was $\$ 22.2$ million.

## CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW

| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest received | \$ | 96,663 | \$ | 85,981 |
| Service charges and other fees received |  | 13,839 |  | 12,523 |
| Interest paid |  | $(20,952)$ |  | $(20,495)$ |
| Cash paid to suppliers and employees |  | $(38,239)$ |  | $(42,623)$ |
| Income taxes paid |  | $(2,196)$ |  | -- |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 49,115 |  | 35,386 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale |  | 63,756 |  | 39,587 |
| Proceeds from sales of MBS |  | -- |  | 20,538 |
| Proceeds from repayment of MBS |  | 215,379 |  | 316,566 |
| Proceeds from repayment of investment securities available-for-sale |  | -- |  | 1,885 |
| Proceeds from maturity of investment securities available-for-sale |  | 25,235 |  | 6,205 |
| Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale |  | $(58,428)$ |  | $(82,261)$ |
| Purchases of MBS |  | $(493,833)$ |  | $(696,293)$ |
| Purchases of FHLB stock |  | $(7,953)$ |  | $(14,033)$ |
| Net increase in loans |  | $(176,521)$ |  | $(58,979)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment |  | 41 |  | 224 |
| Purchase of premises and equipment |  | $(1,619)$ |  | $(5,085)$ |
| Purchase of Bank Owned Life Insurance |  | $(50,000)$ |  | -- |
| Other investing activities |  | $(1,909)$ |  | $(10,001)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | $(485,852)$ |  | $(481,647)$ |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Net increase in transaction deposits |  | 221,584 |  | 112,693 |
| Net decrease in time deposits |  | $(50,429)$ |  | $(15,026)$ |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank |  | 255,000 |  | 200,000 |
| Repayment of advances from Federal Home Loan Bank |  | $(67,000)$ |  | $(75,000)$ |
| Net increase in short-term borrowings |  | 143,797 |  | 183,650 |
| Cash dividends on common stock |  | $(11,687)$ |  | $(10,552)$ |
| Repurchase of common stock |  | $(1,992)$ |  | $(1,230)$ |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options |  | 444 |  | 765 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities |  | 489,717 |  | 395,300 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS |  | 52,980 |  | $(50,961)$ |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period |  | 112,008 |  | 164,973 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period | \$ | 164,988 | \$ | 114,012 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## CVB FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

| RECONCILIATION OF NET EARNINGS TO NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | (amounts in thousands) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 27,523 | \$ | 25,224 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Impairment charge on investment securities |  | 6,300 |  | -- |
| Gain on sale of investment securities |  | $(5,212)$ |  | (823) |
| Gain on sale of premises and equipment |  | (20) |  | (5) |
| Increase in cash value of life insurance |  | (461) |  | (343) |
| Net amortization of premiums on investment securities |  | 7,754 |  | 8,004 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 3,652 |  | 2,992 |
| Change in accrued interest receivable |  | $(1,174)$ |  | $(1,588)$ |
| Change in accrued interest payable |  | 41 |  | (809) |
| Change in other assets and liabilities |  | 10,712 |  | 2,734 |
| Total adjustments |  | 21,592 |  | 10,162 |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | \$ | 49,115 | \$ | 35,386 |
| Securities purchased and not settled | \$ | -- | \$ | 2,678 |

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

## 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying condensed consolidated unaudited financial statements and notes thereto have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission for Form 10-Q and conform to practices within the banking industry and include all of the information and disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial reporting. The results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2004 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, accounting policies and financial notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In the opinion of management, the accompanying condensed consolidated unaudited financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments), which are necessary for a fair representation of financial results for the interim periods presented. A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements follows.

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CVB Financial Corp. (the "Company") and its wholly owned subsidiaries: Citizens Business Bank (the "Bank") and the Bank's wholly owned subsidiary, Golden West Enterprises, Inc.; Community Trust Deed Services; CVB Ventures, Inc.; Chino Valley Bancorp; and ONB Bancorp after elimination of all intercompany transactions and balances.

Nature of Operations - The Company's primary operations are related to traditional banking activities, including the acceptance of deposits and the lending and investing of money through the operations of the Bank. The Bank has one subsidiary, Golden West Enterprises, Inc., which is located in Costa Mesa, California, which provides automobile and equipment leasing, and brokers mortgage loans. The Bank also provides trust services to customers through its Wealth Management Division and Business Financial Centers (branch offices). The Bank's customers consist primarily of small to mid-sized businesses and individuals located in the Inland Empire, San Gabriel Valley, Orange County, Fresno County, Tulare County, and Kern County areas of Southern California. The Bank operates 37 Business Financial Centers with its headquarters located in the city of Ontario.

Investment Securities - The Company classifies securities as held-to-maturity those debt securities that the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity. Securities classified as trading are those securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. All other debt and equity securities are classified as available-for-sale. Securities held-to-maturity are accounted for at cost and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts. Trading securities are accounted for at fair value with the unrealized holding gains and losses being included in current earnings. Securities available-for-sale are accounted for at fair value, with the net unrealized gains and losses, net of income tax effects, presented as a separate component of stockholders' equity. At each reporting date, available-for-sale securities are assessed to determine whether there is an other-than-temporary impairment. Such impairment, if any, is required to be recognized in current earnings rather than as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Realized gains and losses on sales of securities are recognized in earnings at the time of sale and are determined on a specific-identification basis. Purchase premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the terms of the securities. The Company's investment in Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") stock is carried at cost.

Loans and Lease Finance Receivables - Loans and lease finance receivables are reported at the principal amount outstanding, less deferred net loan origination fees and the allowance for credit losses. Interest on loans and lease finance receivables is credited to income based on the principal amount outstanding. Interest income is not recognized on loans and lease finance receivables when collection of interest is deemed by management to be doubtful. In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into commitments to extend credit to its customers. These commitments are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2004, the Company had entered into commitments with certain customers amounting to $\$ 758.2$ million compared to $\$ 607.7$ million at December 31, 2003. In addition, letters of credit at June 30, 2004, and December 31, 2003, were $\$ 61.1$ million and $\$ 46.0$ million, respectively.

The Bank receives collateral to support loans, lease finance receivables, and commitments to extend credit for which collateral is deemed necessary. The most significant categories of collateral are real estate, principally commercial and industrial income-producing properties, real estate mortgages, and assets utilized in agribusiness.

Nonrefundable fees and direct costs associated with the origination or purchase of loans are deferred and netted against outstanding loan balances. The deferred net loan fees and costs are recognized in interest income over the loan term using the level-yield method.

Provision and Allowance for Credit Losses - The determination of the balance in the allowance for credit losses is based on an analysis of the loan and lease finance receivables portfolio using a systematic methodology and reflects an amount that, in management's judgment, is adequate to provide for probable credit losses inherent in the portfolio, after giving consideration to the character of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions, past credit loss experience, and such other factors that deserve current recognition in estimating inherent credit losses. The provision for credit losses is charged to expense. For the six months ended June 30, 2004, the Company charged-off loans totaling $\$ 476,000$ and had recoveries of $\$ 1.3$ million.

A loan for which collection of principal and interest according to its original terms is not probable is considered to be impaired. The Company's policy is to record a specific valuation allowance, which is included in the allowance for credit losses, or charge off that portion of an impaired loan that exceeds its fair value. Fair value is usually based on the value of underlying collateral.

At June 30, 2004, impaired loans totaled $\$ 1.4$ million. These loans were supported by collateral with a fair market value, net of prior liens, of $\$ 5.8$ million.
Premises and Equipment - Premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation, which is provided for in amounts sufficient to relate the cost of depreciable assets to operations over their estimated service lives using the straight-line method. Properties under capital lease and leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their economic lives or the initial terms of the leases.

Other Real Estate Owned - Other real estate owned represents real estate acquired through foreclosure in satisfaction of commercial and real estate loans and is stated at fair value, minus estimated costs to sell (fair value at time of foreclosure). Loan balances in excess of fair value of the real estate acquired at the
date of acquisition are charged against the allowance for credit losses. Any subsequent operating expenses or income, reduction in estimated values, and gains or losses on disposition of such properties are charged to current operations.

Business Combinations and Intangible Assets - The Company has engaged in the acquisition of financial institutions and the assumption of deposits and purchase of assets from other financial institutions in its market area. The Company has paid premiums on certain transactions, and such premiums are recorded as intangible assets, in the form of goodwill or other intangible assets. In accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, goodwill is not being amortized whereas identifiable intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their useful lives. On an annual basis, the Company tests goodwill and intangible assets for impairment.

At June 30, 2004 goodwill was $\$ 19.6$ million (net of amortization of $\$ 5.4$ million recorded prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 142). As of June 30, 2004, intangible assets that continue to be subject to amortization include core deposits of $\$ 6.7$ million (net of $\$ 4.5$ million of accumulated amortization). Amortization expense for such intangible assets was $\$ 592,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2004. Estimated amortization expense, for the remainder of 2004 is expected to be $\$ 592,000$. Estimated amortization expense, for the succeeding five fiscal years is $\$ 1.16$ million for year one and $\$ 1.15$ million for the years two to five. The weighted average remaining life of intangible assets is approximately 5.0 years.

Income Taxes - Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end, based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income.

Earnings per Common Share - Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during each period. The computation of diluted earnings per common share considers the number of shares issuable upon the assumed exercise of outstanding common stock options. Share and per share amounts have been retroactively restated to give effect to all stock splits and dividends. The actual number of shares outstanding at June 30, 2004 was $48,371,663$. The tables below present the reconciliation of earnings per share for the periods indicated.

Earnings Per Share Reconciliation
(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts)


|  |  | Earnings Per Share Reconciliation <br> (Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts) <br> For the Three Months <br> Ended June 30, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Stock-Based Compensation - At June 30, 2004, we have two stock-based employee compensation plans, which are described more fully in Note 14 in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company applies the intrinsic value method as described in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations in accounting for its plans. Accordingly, compensation cost is not recognized when the exercise price of an employee stock option equals or exceeds the fair market value of the stock on the date the option is granted. The following table presents the pro forma effects on net income and related earnings per share if compensation costs related to the stock option plans were measured using the fair value method as prescribed under SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation":

|  | For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2004 2003 |  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2004 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| Net income, as reported | 17,451 | 12,520 | 27,523 | 25,224 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects | 324 | 158 | 474 | 320 |
| Pro forma net income | 17,127 | 12,362 | 27,049 | 24,904 |
| Earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic - as reported | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.52 |
| Basic - pro forma | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.52 |
| Diluted - as reported | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.56 | \$ 0.51 |
| Diluted - pro forma | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.51 |

The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires the use of subjective assumptions, which can materially affect fair value estimates. Therefore, this model does not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the Company's stock options. The fair value of each stock option granted in 2004 was estimated on the date of the grant using the following weighted-average assumptions as of June 30, 2004: (1) expected dividend yield of $2.2 \%$; ( 2 ) risk-free interest rate of $3.8 \%$; (3) expected volatility of $36.7 \%$; and (4) expected lives of options of 7.4 years. The assumptions as of June 30 , 2003 are as follow: (1) expected dividend yield of $2.2 \%$; (2) risk-free interest rate of $2.5 \%$; (3) expected volatility of $36.7 \%$; and (4) expected lives of options of 7.0 years. There were 370,550 and 12,100 options granted during the first six months in 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Statement of Cash Flows - Cash and cash equivalents as reported in the statements of cash flows include cash and due from banks and fed funds sold.

Trust Services - The Company maintains funds in trust for customers. The amount of these funds and the related liability have not been recorded in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets because they are not assets or liabilities of the Bank or Company, with the exception of any funds held on deposit with the Bank. Trust fees are recorded on an accrual basis.

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements - FASB issued FIN No. 46R, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities," an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51. FIN No. 46R requires that variable interest entities be consolidated by a company if that company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or is entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns or both. FIN No. 46R also requires disclosures about variable interest entities that companies are not required to consolidate but in which a company has a significant variable interest. The adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In April 2003, FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," which clarifies and amends financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities". In general, SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In May 2003, FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity," which establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity that have been presented either entirely as equity or between the liabilities section and the equity section of the statement of financial position. SFAS No. 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective for public companies at the beginning of the second interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of this statement did not have a material effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In December 2003, the Accounting Standards Executive Committee of the AICPA issued Statement of Position No. 03-3 ("SOP 03-3"), "Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer". SOP 03-3 addresses the accounting for differences between the contractual cash flows and the cash flows expected to be collected from purchased loans or debt securities if those differences are attributable, in part, to credit quality. SOP 03-3 requires purchased loans and debt securities to be recorded initially at fair value based on the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected with no carryover of any valuation allowance previously recognized by the seller. Interest income should be recognized based on the effective yield from the cash flows expected to be collected. To the extent that the purchased loans or debt securities experience subsequent deterioration in credit quality, a valuation allowance would be established for any additional cash flows that are not expected to be received. However, if more cash flows subsequently are expected to be received than originally estimated, the effective yield would be adjusted on a prospective basis. SOP 03-3 will be effective for loans and debt securities acquired after December 31, 2004. Although the Company anticipates that the implementation of SOP 03-3 will require loan system and operational changes to track credit related losses on loans purchased starting in 2005, it is not expected to have a significant effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position and cash flows.

Reclassification - Certain amounts in the prior periods' financial statements and related footnote disclosures have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

Shareholder Rights Plan — In 2000, the Company adopted a shareholder rights plan designed to maximize long-term value and to protect shareholders from improper takeover tactics and takeover bids which are not fair to all shareholders. In accordance with the plan, preferred share purchase rights were distributed as a dividend at the rate of one right to purchase one one-thousandth of a share of the Company's Series A Participating Preferred Stock at an initial exercise price of $\$ 50.00$ (subject to adjustment as described in the terms of the plan) upon the occurrence of certain triggering events. For additional information concerning this plan, see Note 11 to Consolidated Financial Statements. "Commitments and Contingencies" contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Other Contingencies - In the ordinary course of business, the Company becomes involved in litigation. Based upon the Company's internal records and discussions with legal counsel, the Company records reserves for estimates of the probable outcome of all cases brought against them.

On January 27, 2004, employees of the Bank discovered that a break-in had occurred at one of its Business Financial Centers. During this break-in, some of the customers' safe deposit boxes were compromised. The amount of the recompense to be made to the customers has not been determined. The Bank is working with its customers and insurance company to make restitution

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 

## GENERAL

Management's discussion and analysis is written to provide greater insight into the results of operations and the financial condition of CVB Financial Corp. and its subsidiaries. Throughout this discussion, "Company" refers to CVB Financial Corp. and its subsidiaries as a consolidated entity. "CVB" refers to CVB Financial Corp. as the unconsolidated parent company and "Bank" refers to Citizens Business Bank and its wholly owned subsidiary, Golden West Enterprises, Inc. For a more complete understanding of the Company and its operations, reference should be made to the financial statements included in this report and in the Company's 2003 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Certain statements in this Report on Form 10-Q constitute "forward-looking statements" under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as "estimate," "believes," "anticipate," "may," "could," "expects" or comparable terminology or by discussion of strategy that involves risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, our current expectations regarding future operating results, net interest margin, strength of the local economy, and allowance for credit losses. Our actual results may differ significantly from the results discussed in such forward-looking statements involve risk and uncertainties. Factors that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, economic conditions, competition in the geographic and business areas in which we conduct operations, natural disasters, fluctuations in interest rates, credit quality, and government regulations. For additional information concerning these factors, see the periodic filings the Company makes with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and in particular "Item 1. Business - Factors That May Affect Results" contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003. Additionally, our financial results and operations may be affected by competition which has manifested itself with increased pricing pressures for loans and deposits, thus compressing our net interest margin. Because of the pressure on the net interest margin, other operating income has become a more important element in the total revenue of the Company. All written and forward-looking statements made in connection with this report are explicitly qualified in their entirety by cautionary statements included herein. We disclaim an obligation to update any information contained in any forward-looking statement.

## OVERVIEW

We are a bank holding company with one bank subsidiary, Citizens Business Bank. We have two other active subsidiaries, Community Trust Deed Services, which is owned by CVB Financial Corp. and Golden West Enterprises, Inc, which is owned by Citizens Business Bank. We are based in Ontario, California in the Inland Empire. Our geographical market area goes from Fresno (the middle of the Central Valley) in the north to Laguna Beach (in Orange County) in the south. Our mission is to offer the finest financial products and services to professionals and businesses in our market area.

Our primary source of income is from the interest earned on our loans and investments and our primary area of expense is the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. As such our net income is subject to fluctuations in interest rates and their impact on our income statement. We believe the recent rise in interest rates may relieve some of the pressure on our net interest margin. We are also subject to competition from other financial institutions, which may affect our pricing of products and services, and the fees and interest rates we can charge on them.

Economic conditions in our Southern California service area impact our business. The economy of this area has not experienced the decline that other areas of the state and country have witnessed during the past few years. However, we are still subject to any changes in the economy in our market area. Although we do not provide mortgages on single-family residences, we still benefit from construction growth in Southern California since we provide construction loans to builders. Southern California is experiencing a growth in construction on single-family residences and our balance sheet at June 30 , 2004 reflects that growth from December 31, 2003.

Our growth in loans and investments compared with the second quarter of 2003 has allowed our interest income to grow in the first six months of 2004 as compared to the same period in the preceding year even though there was a decline in the interest rate environment between these periods. The Bank has always had base of interest free deposits because we specialize in businesses and professionals as deposit customers. This has allowed us to have a low cost of deposits, currently $0.53 \%$ for the second quarter of 2004.

We enhanced the Bank's capital position in the last quarter of 2003 with the issuance by the Company of $\$ 82.5$ million in junior subordinated debentures. The cash received from these junior subordinated debentures was contributed as capital to the Bank in December 2003. The Bank used the proceeds to purchase investment securities to enhance earnings during the first quarter of 2004.

During the first quarter of 2004, we wrote down the carrying value of two issues of Federal Home Loan Mortgage Association preferred stock. These securities pay dividends based on LIBOR and perform like a bond. Since there was a loss of value that was deemed other-than-temporary, we charged $\$ 6.3$ million against the earnings in the first quarter of 2004 to adjust the impairment of these issues. This was partially offset by the $\$ 5.2$ million in security gains taken in the second quarter 2004. We took these gains on short maturity securities before rates rose and the gains disappeared.

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. We believe that our most critical accounting policies upon which our financial condition depends, and which involve the most complex or subjective decisions or assessment are as follows:

Allowance for Credit Losses: Arriving at an appropriate level of allowance for credit losses involves a high degree of judgment. The Company’s allowance for credit losses provides for probable losses based upon evaluations of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. The determination of the balance in the allowance for credit losses is based on an analysis of the loan and lease finance receivables portfolio using a systematic methodology and reflects an amount that, in management's judgment, is adequate to provide for probable credit losses inherent in the portfolio, after giving consideration to the character of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions, past credit loss experience, and such other factors as deserve current recognition in estimating inherent credit losses. The provision for credit losses is charged to expense. For a full discussion of our methodology of assessing the adequacy of the allowance for credit losses, see the "Risk Management" section of this Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Investment Portfolio: The investment portfolio is an integral part of the Company's financial performance. We invest primarily in fixed income securities. Accounting estimates are used in the presentation of the investment portfolio and these estimates do impact the presentation of the Company's financial condition and results of operations. Many of the securities included in the investment portfolio are purchased at a premium or discount. The premiums or discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the security. For mortgage-related securities (i.e., securities that are collateralized and payments received from underlying mortgages), the amortization or accretion is based on estimated average lives of the securities. The lives of these securities can fluctuate based on the amount of prepayments received on the underlying collateral of the securities. The amount of prepayments varies from time to time based on the interest rate environment (i.e., lower interest rates increase the likelihood of refinances) and the rate of turnover of the mortgages (i.e., how often the underlying properties are sold and mortgages paid-off). We use estimates for the average lives of these mortgage-related securities based on information received from third parties whose business it is to compile mortgage related data and develop a consensus of that data. We adjust the rate of amortization or accretion regularly to reflect changes in the estimated average lives of these securities.

Income Taxes: We account for income taxes by deferring income taxes based on estimated future tax effects of differences between the tax and book basis of assets and liabilities considering the provisions of enacted tax laws. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in the Company's balance sheets. We must also assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and establish a valuation allowance for those assets determined to not likely be recoverable. Management judgment is required in determining the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting deferred tax assets and liabilities, including projections of future taxable income. Although we have determined a valuation allowance is not required for all deferred tax assets, there is no guarantee that these assets are recognizable.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets: We have acquired entire banks and branches of banks. Those acquisitions accounted for under the purchase method of accounting have given rise to goodwill and intangible assets. We record the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their fair value. These fair values are arrived at by use of internal and external valuation techniques. The purchase price is allocated to the assets and liabilities, resulting in identifiable intangibles. Any excess purchase price after this allocation results in goodwill. Both goodwill and intangible assets are tested on an annual basis for impairment.

## ANALYSIS OF THE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Earnings

We reported net earnings of $\$ 27.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 2.3$ million or $9.11 \%$, over net earnings of $\$ 25.2$ million, for the six months ended June 30, 2003. Basic earnings per share for the six-month period increased to $\$ .57$ per share for 2004 , compared to $\$ 0.52$ per share for 2003. Diluted earnings per share increased to $\$ .56$ per share for the first six months of 2004, compared to $\$ 0.51$ per share for the same period last year. The annualized return on average assets was $1.38 \%$ for the first six months of 2004 compared to a return on average assets of $1.57 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2003. The annualized return on average equity was $18.51 \%$ for the first six months ended June 30 , 2004, compared to a return of $18.82 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2003.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2004, the Company generated net earnings of $\$ 17.5$ million. This represented an increase of $\$ 4.9$ million or $39.39 \%$, over net earnings of $\$ 12.5$ million, for the second quarter of 2003 . Basic earnings per share increased to $\$ 0.36$ per share for the second quarter of 2004 compared to $\$ 0.26$ per share for the second quarter of 2003 . Diluted earnings per share increased to $\$ .36$ per share for the second quarter of 2004 , compared to $\$ 0.25$ per share for the same three-month period last year. The annualized return on average assets was $1.71 \%$ for the second quarter of 2004 compared to a return on average assets of $1.48 \%$ for the same period last year. The annualized return on average equity was $23.05 \%$ for the second quarter of 2004 , compared to a return of $18.43 \%$ for the second quarter of 2003.

During the six months ended June 30, 2004, the Company recorded a charge of $\$ 6.3$ million for the other-than-temporary impairment on two issues of preferred stock issued by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac). Although these securities are technically equity securities, experts in the investment industry recognize that this type of security performs like a bond or a debt security. They are priced and analyzed like a bond for investment purposes. In spite of having these bond characteristics, we were required to write the securities down $\$ 6.3$ million as a result of an other-than-temporary decline in market value due to the interest rate environment. These securities are equity securities with no stated maturity dates. A maturity date would provide a date certain when the security would be redeemed at par or face value.

During the six months ended June 30, 2004 and June 30, 2003, the Company had net gains on sales of securities of $\$ 5.2$ million, net of $\$ 1.8$ million income taxes and $\$ 0.8$ million, net of $\$ 0.5$ million income taxes, respectively. We sold these securities to capture some of the gains on short-term maturity securities which would have disappeared with rising rates.

Net earnings, excluding the impact of the other-than-temporary impairment write-down on investment securities and gains on sales of investment securities, totaled $\$ 28.2$ million for the six month ended June 30, 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 3.5$ million, or $14.33 \%$, compared to net earnings, excluding the net gains on sales of investment securities, of $\$ 24.7$ million for the same period in 2003. For the second quarter of 2004, net earnings, excluding the impact of gains on sales of investment securities, totaled $\$ 14.0$ million. This represented an increase of $\$ 1.5$ million, or $12.12 \%$, compared to net earnings, excluding the net gains on sales of investment securities, of $\$ 12.5$ million for the second quarter of 2003.

The following table reconciles the differences in net earnings with and without the other-than-temporary impairment write-down and net gains on sales of investment securities in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America:


|  | Net Earnings Reconciliation (Dollars in thousands) For the Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 |  |  |  | 2003 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Before <br> Income Taxe |  | Taxes | Earnings | Before <br> Income Taxes Income Taxes |  |  | NetEarnings |  |
| Net Earnings excluding other-than-temporary impairment write-down and net gain on sale of securities | \$ | 21,701 | 7,685 \$ | 14,016 | \$ | 19,140 \$ | 6,639 \$ |  | 12,501 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment write-down |  | -- | -- | -- |  | -- | -- |  | -- |
| Net gain on sale of securities |  | 5,212 | 1,777 | 3,435 |  | 29 | 10 |  | 19 |
| Net Earnings as reported | \$ | 26,913 | 9,462 \$ | 17,451 | \$ | 19,169 \$ | 6,649 \$ |  | 12,520 |

We have presented net earnings without the other-than-temporary impairment write-down on investment securities and realized net gains on sales of investment securities to show shareholders the earnings from operations unaffected by the impact of these items. We believe this presentation allows the reader to more easily assess the results of the Company's operations and business.

## Net Interest Income

The principal component of the Company's earnings is net interest income, which is the difference between the interest and fees earned on loans and investments (earning assets) and the interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds (interest-bearing liabilities). When net interest income is expressed as a percentage of average earning assets, the result is net interest margin. The net interest spread is the yield on average earning assets minus the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities. Our net interest income, interest spread, and net interest margin are sensitive to general business and economic conditions. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, monetary supply, and the strength of the economy, in general, and the local economies in which we conduct business. Our ability to manage the net interest income during changing interest rate environments will have a significant impact on our overall performance. We manage net interest income through affecting changes in the mix of earning assets as well as the mix of interest-bearing liabilities, changes in the level of interest-bearing liabilities in proportion to earning assets, and in the growth of earning assets.

The Company's net interest income (before provision for credit losses) totaled $\$ 71.5$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 9.7$ million, or $15.67 \%$, over net interest income of $\$ 61.8$ million for the same period in 2003. The increase in net interest income of $\$ 9.7$ million resulted from a $\$ 11.4$ million increase in interest income, offset by a $\$ 1.7$ million increase in interest expense. The $\$ 11.4$ million increase in interest income resulted from a $\$ 709.5$ million increase in average earning assets, which offset the decline in the average yield on earning assets to $5.08 \%$ for the first six months of 2004 from $5.57 \%$ for the same period in 2003. The $\$ 1.7$ million increase in interest expense resulted from a $\$ 522.5$ million increase in average interest-bearing liabilities, offset by a decline in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities to $1.65 \%$ for the first six months of 2004 from $1.92 \%$ for the same period in 2003.

Interest income totaled $\$ 92.4$ million for the first six months of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 11.4$ million, or $14.06 \%$, compared to total interest income of $\$ 81.0$ million for the same period last year. The increase in interest income was primarily the result of the increase in average earnings assets from $\$ 3.04$ billion in the first six months of 2003 to $\$ 3.75$ billion in the same period in 2004. This represents a $23.30 \%$ increase for the first six months of 2004 over the same period last year. This was partially offset by a decline in the average yield on earning assets, which decreased by 49 basis points.

Interest expense totaled $\$ 20.9$ million for the first six months of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 1.7$ million, or $8.89 \%$, over total interest expense of $\$ 19.2$ million for the same period last year. The increase in interest expense was primarily the result of an increase in average interest-bearing liabilities even though the cost of these liabilities decreased by 27 basis points.

For the second quarter ended June 30, 2004, the Company's net interest income (before provision for credit losses) totaled $\$ 35.9$ million. This represented an increase of $\$ 5.4$ million, or $17.54 \%$, over net interest income (before provision for credit losses) of $\$ 30.5$ million for the same period in 2003. The increase in net interest income of $\$ 5.4$ million for the second quarter of 2004 resulted from an increase of $\$ 5.8$ million in interest income and a $\$ 428,000$ increase in interest expense. The increase in interest income of $\$ 5.8$ million resulted from the increase in average earning assets of $\$ 608.8$ million, which was offset by a decline in the average yield on earning assets to $5.01 \%$ for the second quarter of 2004 from $5.31 \%$ the same period in 2003 . The increase of $\$ 428,000$ in interest expense resulted from the decline in the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities to $1.64 \%$ for the second quarter of 2004 from $1.89 \%$ the same period in 2003, which offset a $\$ 425.1$ million increase in average interest-bearing liabilities.

The increase in interest income for the second quarter ending June 30, 2004 as compared to the second quarter ending June 30 , 2003 was primarily the result of the increase in average earning assets, which was offset by a decline in the average yield on earning assets of 30 basis points between the second quarter of 2004 and the second quarter of 2003. Interest income totaled $\$ 46.5$ million for the second quarter of 2004 . This represented an increase of $\$ 5.8$ million, or $14.23 \%$, compared to total interest income of $\$ 40.7$ million for the same period last year.

The increase in interest expense was primarily the result of the decline in the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Interest expense totaled $\$ 10.5$ million for the second quarter of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 428,000$ or $4.22 \%$, over total interest expense of $\$ 10.1$ million for the same period last year. Both the decline in the yield on earning assets and the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities reflects the general economy and the decreasing interest rate environment between the second quarter of 2003 and 2004.

Table 1 shows the average balances of assets, liabilities, and stockholders' equity and the related interest income, expense, and rates for the six-month and three-month periods ended June 30, 2004, and 2003. Yields for tax-preferenced investments are shown on a taxable equivalent basis using a $35 \%$ tax rate.

TABLE 1 - Distribution of Average Assets, Liabilities, and Stockholders' Equity; Interest Rates and Interest Differentials


1) Includes short-term interest bearing deposits with other institutions
(2) Non tax equivalent rate for 2004 was $4.01 \%$ and 2003 was $4.27 \%$
(3) Loan fees are included in total interest income as follows, (000)s omitted: 2004, \$3,735 and 2003, \$3,079.
(4) Non performing loans are included in net loans as follows, (000)s omitted: 2004, \$1,455 and 2003, \$1,126.
(5) Includes interest bearing demand and money market accounts

TABLE 1 - Distribution of Average Assets, Liabilities, and Stockholders' Equity; Interest Rates and Interest Differentials

(1) Includes short-term interest bearing deposits with other institutions
(2) Non tax equivalent rate for 2004 was $3.93 \%$ and 2003 was $4.03 \%$.
(3) Loan fees are included in total interest income as follows, (000)s omitted: 2004, $\$ 1,887$ and 2003, $\$ 1,609$.
(4) Non performing loans are included in net loans as follows, (000)s omitted: 2004, \$1,455 and 2003, \$1,126.
(5) Includes interest bearing demand and money market accounts

As stated above, the net interest margin measures net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets. The net interest margin is an indication of how effectively we generate our source of funds and employ our earning assets. Our taxable equivalent (TE) net interest margin was $3.97 \%$ for the first six months of 2004, compared to $4.29 \%$ for the same period last year. The decrease in the net interest margin over the same period last year is the result of a number of factors. The most significant was the decreasing interest rate environment, which impacted interest earned and interest paid as a percent of earning assets. This was partially offset by changes in the mix of assets and liabilities as follows:
o Increase in average demand deposits (interest free deposits) as a percent of average earning assets from $29.72 \%$ in the first six months of 2003 to $30.57 \%$ for the same period in 2004
o Increase in average interest-bearing liabilities as a percent of average earning assets from $65.70 \%$ (TE) in the first six months of 2003 to $67.20 \%$ (TE) for the same period in 2004
o Increase in average borrowings as a percent of average earning assets from $19.38 \%$ in the first six months of 2003 to $26.37 \%$ in the same period of 2004
o Decrease in average investment securities as a percent of average earning assets from $50.99 \%$ in the first six months of 2003 to $50.73 \%$ in the same period of 2004

0
Interest expense as a percent of average earning assets decreased from $1.27 \%$ in the first six months of 2003 to $1.12 \%$ in the same period of 2004, a decrease of 15 basis points

It is difficult to attribute the above changes to any one factor. However, the declining interest rate environment is a significant factor. Interest rates are at their lowest in 45 years. The advent of rising rates, we saw a 25 basis point increase in our Fed Funds Rate in June 2004, should have a positive impact on our net interest income. However, we will not see the full impact of the rate increase as the effect needs to run through the asset and liability side of our balance sheet. In addition, the banking and financial services businesses in our market areas are highly competitive. This competition has an influence on the strategies the Company employs.

The net interest spread is the difference between the yield on average earning assets less the cost of average interest-bearing liabilities. The net interest spread is an indication of our ability to manage interest rates received on loans and investments and paid on deposits and borrowings in a competitive and changing interest rate environment. Our net interest spread (TE) was $3.43 \%$ for the first six months of 2004 and $3.65 \%$ for the same period last year. The decrease
in the net interest spread for the six months ended June 30, 2004 resulted from a 49 basis point decrease in the yield on earning assets offset by a 27 basis point decrease in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, thus generating a 22 basis point decrease in the net interest spread over the same period last year.

For the second quarter of 2004 the Company's net interest spread (TE) was $3.37 \%$ as compared to $3.42 \%$ for the same period last year. The decrease in the net interest spread for the second quarter ended June 30, 2004 resulted from a 30 basis point decrease in the yield on earning assets offset by a 25 basis point decrease in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities, thus generating a 5 basis point decrease in the net interest spread over the same period last year.

The yield (TE) on earning assets decreased to $5.08 \%$ for the first six months of 2004, from $5.57 \%$ for the same period last year, and reflects a decreasing interest rate environment and a change in the mix of earning assets. Average loans as a percent of earning assets decreased to $48.15 \%$ in the first six months of 2004 from $48.41 \%$ for the same period in 2003. Average investments as a percent of earning assets decreased to $51.85 \%$ in the first six months of 2004 from $52.12 \%$ for the same period in 2003. Average federal funds sold as a percent of earning assets decreased to $0.02 \%$ in the first six months of 2004 from $0.16 \%$ for the same period in 2003. Investments and federal funds sold typically have a lower yield than loans. The yield on loans for the first six months of 2004 decreased to $5.94 \%$ as compared to $6.59 \%$ for the same period in 2003 as a result of the decreasing interest rate environment and competition for quality loans. The yield (TE) on investments for the first six months of 2004 decreased to $4.27 \%$ compared to $4.64 \%$ for the same period in 2003 as a result of the decreasing interest rate environment. The decrease in the yield on earning assets for the first six months of 2004 was the result of lower yields on both loans and investments as a result of the lower interest rate environment.

The cost of average interest-bearing liabilities decreased to $1.65 \%$ for the first six months of 2004 as compared to $1.92 \%$ for the same period in 2003 , reflecting a decreasing interest rate environment and a change in the mix of interest-bearing liabilities. Average borrowings as a percent of average interestbearing liabilities increased to $39.25 \%$ during the first six months of 2004 as compared to $29.50 \%$ for the same period in 2003. Borrowings typically have a higher cost than interest-bearing deposits. The cost of interest-bearing deposits for the first six months of 2004 decreased to $0.55 \%$ as compared to $0.76 \%$ for the same period in 2003, reflecting the decreasing interest rate environment offset by competition for interest-bearing deposits. The cost of borrowings for the first six months of 2004 decreased to $2.73 \%$ as compared to $3.52 \%$ for the same period in 2003, also reflecting the decreasing interest rate environment. The FDIC has approved the payment of interest on certain demand deposit accounts. This could have a negative impact on our net interest margin, net interest spread, and net earnings, should the FDIC require interest on all demand deposits. Currently, we pay interest on NOW and Money Market Accounts.

Table 2 summarizes the changes in interest income and interest expense based on changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and changes in average rates (rate). For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided with respect to changes attributable to (1) changes in volume (change in volume multiplied by initial rate), (2) changes in rate (change in rate multiplied by initial volume) and (3) changes in rate/volume (change in rate multiplied by change in volume).

|  | Volume |  | Rate |  |  | Rate/ Volume | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( amounts in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable investment securities | \$ | 7,657 | \$ | $(1,410)$ | \$ | (439) | \$ | 5,808 |
| Tax-advantaged securities |  | 77 |  | (595) |  | (6) |  | (524) |
| Fed funds sold interest-bearing deposits with other institutions |  | (35) |  | (15) |  | 13 |  | (37) |
| Investment in FHLB stock |  | 220 |  | 125 |  | 48 |  | 393 |
| Loans |  | 11,498 |  | $(4,689)$ |  | $(1,056)$ |  | 5,753 |
| Total interest on earning assets |  | 19,417 |  | $(6,584)$ |  | $(1,440)$ |  | 11,393 |
| Interest Expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings deposits |  | 742 |  | (883) |  | (171) |  | (312) |
| Time deposits |  | (361) |  | (914) |  | 93 |  | $(1,182)$ |
| Other borrowings |  | 7,117 |  | $(2,344)$ |  | $(1,570)$ |  | 3,203 |
| Total interest on interest-bearing liabilities |  | 7,498 |  | $(4,141)$ |  | $(1,648)$ |  | 1,709 |
| Net Interest Income | \$ | 11,919 | \$ | $(2,443)$ | \$ | 208 | \$ | 9,684 |

TABLE 2 - Rate and Volume Analysis for Changes in Interest Income, Interest Expense and Net Interest Income ended June 30, 2004 and 2003
Increase (Decrease) in interest income or expense due to

|  | Volume |  | Rate |  | Rate/ Volume |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( amounts in thousands ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable investment securities | \$ | 2,658 | \$ | (7) | \$ | (1) | \$ | 2,650 |
| Tax-advantaged securities |  | 33 |  | (395) |  | (3) |  | (365) |
| Fed funds sold interest-bearing deposits with other institutions |  | (27) |  | 5 |  | (5) |  | (27) |
| Investment in FHLB stock |  | 54 |  | 124 |  | 29 |  | 207 |
| Loans |  | 5,909 |  | $(2,066)$ |  | (520) |  | 3,323 |
| Total interest on earning assets |  | 8,627 |  | $(2,339)$ |  | (500) |  | 5,788 |
| Interest Expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings deposits |  | 271 |  | (29) |  | (6) |  | 236 |
| Time deposits |  | (254) |  | (707) |  | 64 |  | (897) |
| Other borrowings |  | 2,632 |  | $(1,070)$ |  | (473) |  | 1,089 |
| Total interest on interest-bearing liabilities |  | 2,649 |  | $(1,806)$ |  | (415) |  | 428 |
| Net Interest Income | \$ | 5,978 | \$ | (533) | \$ | (85) | \$ | 5,360 |

## Interest and Fees on Loans

Our major source of revenue and primary component of interest income is interest and fees on loans. Interest and fees on loans totaled $\$ 53.4$ million for the first six months of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 5.8$ million, or $12.08 \%$, over interest and fees on loans of $\$ 47.6$ million for the same period in 2003. The increase in interest and fees on loans for the first six months of 2004 reflects increases in the average balance of loans offset by a lower interest rate environment. The yield on loans decreased to $5.94 \%$ for the first six months of 2004, compared to $6.59 \%$ for the same period in 2003. Deferred loan origination fees, net of costs, totaled $\$ 9.5$ million at June 30, 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 2.1$ million, or $28.82 \%$, from deferred loan origination fees, net of costs, of $\$ 7.4$ million at June 30, 2003.

For the second quarter of 2004 interest and fees on loans totaled $\$ 27.1$ million. This represented an increase of $\$ 3.3$ million, or $13.95 \%$, over interest and fees on loans of $\$ 23.8$ million for the same period in 2003. The increase was primarily due to increases in the average balance of loans offset by a lower interest rate environment.

In general, we stop accruing interest on a loan after its principal or interest becomes 90 days or more past due. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual, all interest previously accrued but not collected is charged against earnings. There was no interest income that was accrued and not reversed on non-performing loans at June 30, 2004 and 2003.

Fees collected on loans are an integral part of the loan pricing decision. Loan fees and the direct costs associated with the origination of loans are deferred and deducted from the loan balance. Deferred net loan fees are recognized in interest income over the term of the loan in a manner that approximates the levelyield method. We recognized loan fee income of $\$ 3.7$ million for the first six months of 2004, as compared to $\$ 3.1$ million for the same period in 2003, an increase of $\$ 656,000$, or $21.30 \%$.

We recognized loan fee income of $\$ 1.9$ million for the second quarter of 2004, as compared to $\$ 1.6$ million for the same period in 2003, an increase of $\$ 278,000$ or $17.26 \%$.

Interest on Investments

Our second component of interest income is interest on investments, which totaled $\$ 39.0$ million for the first six months of 2004 . This represented an increase of $\$ 5.7$ million, or $17.03 \%$, over interest on investments of $\$ 33.3$ million for the same period in 2003. The increase in interest on investments for the first six months of 2004 over the same period last year reflected increases in the average balance of investments offset by a lower interest rate environment. The interest rate environment and the investment strategies we employ directly affect the yield on the investment portfolio. We continually adjust our investment strategies in response to the changing interest rate environments in order to maximize the rate of total return consistent within prudent risk parameters, and to minimize the overall interest rate risk of the Company. The weighted-average yield (TE) on investments decreased to $4.27 \%$ for the first six months of 2004 , compared to $4.64 \%$ for the same period in 2003 as a result of the decreasing interest rate environment, and increased prepayments on mortgage-backed securities which caused increased cash flows to be invested at lower yields. These were offset by the increase in the average investment portfolio.

For the second quarter of 2004 interest income on investments totaled $\$ 19.3$ million. This represented an increase of $\$ 2.5$ million, or $14.62 \%$, over interest on investments of $\$ 16.9$ million for the same period in 2003 . The increase in interest on investments for the second quarter of 2004 over the same period last year reflected increases in the average balance of investments offset by a lower interest rate environment. The weighted-average yield (TE) on investments decreased to $4.17 \%$ for the second quarter of 2004 , compared to $4.36 \%$ for the same period in 2003 as a result of the decreasing interest rate environment.

## Provision for Credit Losses

The Company maintains an allowance for probable credit losses that is increased by a provision for credit losses charged against operating results. We did not make a provision for credit losses during the first six months of 2004 or 2003, and we believe our credit allowance is appropriate. No assurance can be given that economic conditions which adversely affect the Company's service areas or other circumstances will not be reflected in increased provisions or credit losses in the future. The nature of this process requires considerable judgment. See "Risk Management - Credit Risk" herein.

## Other Operating Income

Other operating income has become an increasingly important source of revenue for the Company. Other operating income for the Company includes income derived from special services offered by the Bank, such as wealth management and trust services, merchant card, investment services, international banking, and other business services. Also included in other operating income are service charges and fees, primarily from deposit accounts; gains (net of losses) from the sale of investment securities, other real estate owned, and fixed assets; the gross revenue from Community Trust Deed Services and other revenues not included as interest on earning assets.

Other operating income, including other-than-temporary impairment write-down and gains on the sales of investment securities, totaled $\$ 12.8$ million for the first six months of 2004. This represents a decrease of $\$ 577,000$, or $4.32 \%$, from other operating income, including gains on the sales of investment securities, of $\$ 13.4$ million for the same period in 2003. The decrease was the result of a $\$ 6.3$ million other-than-temporary impairment write-down of two issues of preferred stock issued by Freddie Mac during the first quarter of 2004 which was offset by the gains on the sales of investment securities of $\$ 5.2$ million. Other operating income, without the other-than-temporary impairment write-down and gains on the sales of investment securities, totaled $\$ 13.9$ million, an increase of $\$ 1.3$ million or $10.63 \%$, as compared to the same period of 2003 (see table below).

For the second quarter of 2004 other operating income, including gains on the sales of investment securities, totaled $\$ 12.0$ million. This represents an increase of $\$ 5.5$ million, or $85.34 \%$ from other operating income of $\$ 6.5$ million for the same period last year. The increase was the result of a gain on sale of securities of $\$ 5.2$ million. Other operating income, without the gains on the sales of investment securities, totaled $\$ 6.8$ million, an increase of $\$ 347,000$ or $5.38 \%$, as compared to the same period of 2003.

Other operating income as a percent of net revenues (net interest income before loan loss provision plus other operating income) was $15.18 \%$ for the first six months of 2004, as compared to $17.79 \%$ for the same period in 2003. Excluding the other-than-temporary impairment write-down and gains on sales of investment securities, other operating income as a percent of net revenues was $16.26 \%$ for the first six months of 2004 , as compared to $16.88 \%$ for the same period in 2003.

For the second quarter of 2004 other operating income as a percent of net revenues (net interest income before loan loss provision plus other operating income) was $25.06 \%$ as compared to $17.50 \%$ for the same period in 2003 . Excluding the gains on sales of investment securities, other operating income as a percent of net revenues was $15.92 \%$ for the second quarter of 2004 , as compared to $17.44 \%$ for the same period in 2003.

The following table reconciles the differences in other operating income and the percentage of net revenues with and without the other-than-temporary impairment write-down and gains on sales of investment securities in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America:



We have presented other operating income without the other-than-temporary impairment write-down and net gains on sales of investment securities to show shareholders the earnings from operations unaffected by the impact of these items. We believe this presentation allows the reader to determine our profitability before the impact of these items. We believe the reader will be able to more easily assess the results of the Company's operations and business.

Service charges on deposit accounts totaled $\$ 7.3$ million in the first six months of 2004. This represented a decrease of $\$ 140,000$, or $1.88 \%$ over service charges on deposit accounts of $\$ 7.4$ million for the same period in 2003. Service charges for demand deposits (checking) accounts for business customers are generally charged based on an analysis of their activity and include an earnings allowance based on their average balances. Contributing to the decrease in service charges on deposit accounts in the first six months of 2004 was partially due to higher earning allowance as a result of higher deposit balances to offset the service charges and the implementation of a revised service charge schedule. Service charges on deposit accounts represented $57.10 \%$ of other operating income in the first six months of 2004, as compared to $55.69 \%$ in the same period in 2003.

For the second quarter of 2004 service charges on deposit accounts totaled $\$ 3.5$ million. This represents a decrease of $\$ 238,000$, or $6.34 \%$ from service charges of $\$ 3.7$ million for the same period last year. Service charges on deposit accounts represented $29.24 \%$ of other operating income in the second quarter of 2004, as compared to $57.86 \%$ in the same period in 2003.

The Wealth Management Division provides a variety of services, which include wealth management services (both full management services and custodial services), estate planning, retirement planning, private and corporate trustee services, and probate services. Many of the fees generated by the Wealth Management Division are based on the value of assets managed. Due to the increase in portfolios under administration and the recent rise in interest rates, the Wealth Management Division generated fees of $\$ 2.3$ million in the first six months of 2004. This represents an increase $\$ 302,000$, or $15.33 \%$ over fees generated by the Wealth Management Division of $\$ 2.0$ million in the same period in 2003. Fees generated by the Wealth Management Division represented $17.77 \%$ of other operating income in the first six months of 2004, as compared to $14.75 \%$ for the same period in 2003.

For the second quarter of 2004 fees generated by the Wealth Management Division totaled $\$ 1.1$ million. This represents an increase of $\$ 186,000$, or $20.15 \%$ from wealth management income of $\$ 925,000$ for the same period last year. Fees generated by the Wealth Management Division represented $9.25 \%$ of other operating income in the second quarter of 2004, as compared to $14.27 \%$ in the same period in 2003.

Investment Services, which provides mutual funds, certificates of deposit, and other non-insured investment products, generated fees totaling $\$ 802,000$ in the first six months of 2004. This represented a decrease of $\$ 13,000$, or $1.70 \%$, over fees generated of $\$ 788,000$ for the same period in 2003. Fees generated by Investment Services represented $6.27 \%$ of other operating income in the first six months of 2004, as compared to $5.90 \%$ for the same period in 2003.

For the second quarter of 2004 fees generated by Investment Services totaled $\$ 427,000$. This represents an increase of $\$ 44,000$, or $11.59 \%$ from investment services income of $\$ 382,000$ for the same period last year. Fees generated by the investment services represented $3.55 \%$ of other operating income in the second quarter of 2004, as compared to $5.90 \%$ in the same period in 2003. As interest rates have fallen, Investment Services customers' incentive to use their services have decreased which is the primary reason for the decline in fees generated in the second quarter.

Bankcard services, which provide merchant bankcard services (credit card processing, merchant terminals, and customer support), generated fees totaling $\$ 826,000$ in the first six months of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 177,000$, or $27.21 \%$, over fees generated of $\$ 649,000$ for the same period in 2003. The increase is primarily due to growth of the transaction volumes with our customer base. Fees generated by Bankcard services represented $6.46 \%$ of other operating income in the first six months of 2004, as compared to $4.86 \%$ for the same period in 2003.

For the second quarter of 2004 fees generated by Bankcard totaled $\$ 401,000$. This represents an increase of $\$ 86,000$, or $27.42 \%$ from Bankcard services income of $\$ 314,000$ for the same period last year. Fees generated by Bankcard services represented $3.34 \%$ of other operating income in the second quarter of 2004, as compared to $4.85 \%$ in the same period in 2003.

Other fees and income, which includes wire fees, other business services, international banking fees, check sales, ATM fees, miscellaneous income, etc., was $\$ 2.7$ million in the first six months of 2004 . This represented an increase of $\$ 982,000$, or $58.04 \%$, over other fees and income generated of $\$ 1.7$ million for the same period in 2003. The increase is primarily due the increase of volume in other banking service and international banking fees. Total revenue from Community Trust Deed Services was approximately $\$ 30,000$ in the first six months of 2004 and $\$ 44,000$ for the same period in 2003 . Other fees and income represented $20.90 \%$ of other operating income in the first six months of 2004, as compared to $12.65 \%$ for the same period in 2003.

For the second quarter of 2004 other fees and income totaled $\$ 1.3$ million. This represents an increase of $\$ 269,000$, or $24.88 \%$ from other fees and income of $\$ 1.1$ million for the same period last year. Other fees and income represented $11.22 \%$ of other operating income in the second quarter of 2004, as compared to $16.66 \%$ in the same period in 2003.

## Other Operating Expenses

Other operating expenses for the Company include expenses for salaries and benefits, occupancy, equipment, stationary and supplies, professional services, promotion, data processing, amortization of intangibles, and other expenses, including prepayment penalties. Other operating expenses totaled $\$ 42.5$ million for the first six months of 2004 . This represents an increase of $\$ 6.9$ million, or $19.42 \%$ from other operating expenses of $\$ 35.6$ million for the same period in 2003.

For the second quarter of 2004 other operating expenses totaled $\$ 21.0$ million. This represents an increase of $\$ 3.1$ million, or $17.61 \%$ from other operating expenses of $\$ 17.9$ million for the same period last year.

For the most part, other operating expenses reflect the direct expenses and related administrative expenses associated with staffing, maintaining, promoting, and operating branch facilities. Our ability to control other operating expenses in relation to asset growth can be measured in terms of other operating expenses as a percentage of average assets. Operating expenses measured as a percentage of average assets decreased to $2.13 \%$ for the first six months of 2004, compared to a ratio of $2.21 \%$ for the same period in 2003 . The decrease in the ratio indicates that management is controlling greater levels of assets with proportionately smaller operating expenses, an indication of operating efficiency.

Our ability to control other operating expenses in relation to the level of net revenue (net interest income plus other operating income) is measured by the efficiency ratio and indicates the percentage of net revenue that is used to cover expenses. For the first six months of 2004, the efficiency ratio was $50.45 \%$, compared to a ratio of $47.36 \%$ for the same period in 2003 . The increase was primarily due to the impact of the $\$ 6.3$ million other-than-temporary impairment write-down in the first quarter of 2004, offset by the gains on sales of investment securities. Without the impairment charge on investment securities and net gains on sales of investment securities, our efficiency ratio would have been $49.81 \%$ in 2004 as compared to $47.89 \%$ in 2003 . The increase in the ratio is mainly due to additional expenses as a result of the Kaweah National Bank acquisition and increases in salaries and employee benefits expenses from increased staffing levels.

For the second quarter of 2004 the efficiency ratio decreased to $43.83 \%$ as compared to $47.48 \%$ for the same period last year. Without the gains on the sale of securities, the efficiency ratio would have been $49.18 \%$ as compared to $48.27 \%$ for the same period last year.

The following table reconciles the differences in operating efficiency ratio with and without the other-than-temporary impairment write-down and net gains on sales of investment securities:

|  |  | 思Net Earnings Reconciliation <br> (Dollars in thousands) <br> For the Six Months <br> Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Other Operating Expense | Net Revenues |  | Operating Efficiency Ratio | Other Operating Expense |  | Net Revenues |  | Operating <br> Efficiency <br> Ratio |
| Without other-than-temporary impairment write-down and net gain on sale of securities | \$ | 42,509 | \$ | 85,350 | 49.81\% | \$ | 35,597 | \$ | 74,332 | 47.89\% |
| Other-than-temporary impairment write-down |  | -- |  | $(6,300)$ |  |  | -- |  | -- |  |
| Net gain on sale of securities |  | -- |  | 5,212 |  |  | -- |  | 823 |  |
| Reported Amount | \$ | 42,509 | \$ | 84,262 | 50.45\% | \$ | 35,597 | \$ | 75,155 | 47.36\% |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net Earnings Reconciliation } \\ & \text { (Dollars in thousands) } \\ & \text { For the Three Months } \\ & \text { Ended June 30, } \\ & 2004 \text { 2003 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Other Operating Expense |  | Net Revenues |  | Operating Efficiency Ratio | Other Operating Expense |  | Net Revenues |  | Operating <br> Efficiency <br> Ratio |
| Without other-than-temporary impairment write-down and net gain on sale of securities | \$ | 21,004 | \$ | 42,705 | 49.18\% | \$ | 17,858 | \$ | 36,998 | 48.27\% |
| Net gain on sale of securities |  | -- |  | 5,212 |  |  | -- |  | 29 |  |
| Reported Amount | \$ | 21,004 | \$ | 47,917 | 43.83\% | \$ | 17,858 | \$ | 37,027 | 48.23\% |

We have presented the operating efficiency ratio without the other-than-temporary impairment write-down and net gains on sales of investment securities to show shareholders the earnings from operations unaffected by the impact of these items. We believe this presentation allows the reader to determine our profitability before the impact of items that may not be considered as normal operating items. We believe that the reader will be able to more easily assess the results of the Company's operations and business.

Salaries and related expenses comprise the greatest portion of other operating expenses. Salaries and related expenses totaled $\$ 23.4$ million for the first six months of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 3.4$ million, or $17.38 \%$, over salaries and related expenses of $\$ 19.9$ million for the same period in 2003. The increases for 2004 primarily resulted from increased staffing levels as a result of the Kaweah National Bank acquisition and annual salary adjustments. At June 30, 2004, we employed 643 full time equivalent employees, compared to 593 full time equivalent employees at June 30, 2003. Salaries and related expenses as a percent of average assets decreased to $1.17 \%$ for the first six months of 2004, compared to $1.24 \%$ for the same period in 2003.

For the second quarter of 2004 salaries and related expenses totaled $\$ 11.6$ million. This represents an increase of $\$ 1.7$ million, or $17.20 \%$ from salaries and related expenses of $\$ 9.9$ million for the same period last year. The increases for the second quarter of 2004 primarily resulted from increased staffing levels and annual salary adjustments. Salaries and related expenses as a percent of average assets decreased to $1.14 \%$ for the second quarter of 2004, compared to $1.17 \%$ for the same period in 2003.

Occupancy and equipment expenses represent the cost of operating and maintaining branch and administrative facilities, including the purchase and maintenance of furniture, fixtures, office equipment and data processing equipment. Occupancy expense totaled $\$ 3.7$ million for the first six months of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 508,000$, or $16.01 \%$, over occupancy expense of $\$ 3.2$ million for the same period in 2003. The increase in occupancy expense is primarily due to the acquisition of Kaweah National Bank and the on-going remodeling and upkeep of our facilities. Equipment expense totaled $\$ 3.7$ million for the first six months of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 639,000$, or $20.82 \%$, over the $\$ 3.1$ million expense for the same period in 2003. The increase in equipment expense primarily reflects the upgrade to image processing equipment, the on going upgrade of other computer equipment and the acquisition of Kaweah National Bank.

For the second quarter of 2004 occupancy expense totaled $\$ 1.9$ million. This represents an increase of $\$ 285,000$, or $17.60 \%$ from occupancy expense of $\$ 1.6$ million for the same period last year. Equipment expense for the second quarter of 2004 totaled $\$ 1.9$ million. This represents an increase of $\$ 276,000$, or $17.48 \%$ from equipment expense of $\$ 1.6$ million for the same period last year.

Stationary and supplies expense totaled $\$ 2.4$ million for the first six months of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 120,000$, or $5.27 \%$, over the expense of $\$ 2.3$ million for the same period in 2003. For the second quarters of 2004 and 2003 stationary and supplies expense totaled $\$ 1.2$ million.

Professional services totaled $\$ 2.1$ million for the first six months of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 139,000$ or $7.02 \%$, over an expense of $\$ 2.0$ million for the same period in 2003. For the second quarter of 2004 professional services totaled $\$ 1.0$ million. This represents a decrease of $\$ 300,000$, or $23.07 \%$ from professional services of $\$ 1.3$ million for the same period last year.

Promotion expense totaled $\$ 2.8$ million for the first six months of 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 594,000$, or $27.45 \%$, from an expense of $\$ 2.2$ million for the same period in 2003. For the second quarter of 2004 promotion expense totaled $\$ 1.2$ million. This represents an increase of $\$ 205,000$, or $19.75 \%$ from promotion expense of $\$ 1.0$ million for the same period last year. The increase in promotion expense was primarily associated with the acquisition of Kaweah National Bank.

Data processing expense totaled $\$ 730,000$ for the first six months of 2004 . This represented an increase of $\$ 183,000$, or $33.55 \%$, from an expense of $\$ 547,000$ for the same period in 2003. For the second quarter of 2004 data processing expense totaled $\$ 377,000$. This represents an increase of $\$ 133,000$, or $54.73 \%$ from data processing expense of $\$ 244,000$ for the same period last year. The increase in data processing expense was primarily due to the acquisition of Kaweah National Bank.

The amortization expense of intangibles totaled $\$ 592,000$ for the first six months of 2004 and $\$ 315,000$ for the same period in 2003. This represents an increase of $\$ 278,000$, or $88.18 \%$. The increase is mainly due to additional amortization of core deposit premium as a result of the acquisition of Kaweah National Bank in September 2003. For the second quarter of 2004 amortization expense totaled $\$ 296,000$. This represents an increase of $\$ 93,000$, or $45.61 \%$ from amortization expense of $\$ 203,000$ for the same period last year.

Other operating expense totaled $\$ 3.2$ million for the first six months of 2004 . This represented an increase of $\$ 992,000$, or $45.80 \%$, from an expense of $\$ 2.2$ million for the same period in 2003. For the second quarter of 2004 other operating expense totaled $\$ 1.5$ million. This represents an increase of $\$ 751,000$, or $96.03 \%$ from other operating expense of $\$ 782,000$ for the same period last year. The increase in other operating expense was primarily due to the acquisition of Kaweah National Bank.

Most of the increases in Other Operating Expenses is due to the increase in the number of Business Financial Centers, primarily due to the acquisition of Kaweah National Bank.

## Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate for the first six months of 2004 was $34.08 \%$, compared to $36.24 \%$ for the same period in 2003 . The decrease was primarily due to a reduction in reserves for prior period state taxes. The effective tax rates are below the nominal combined Federal and State tax rates as a result of tax preferenced income from certain investments for each period. The majority of tax preferenced income is derived from municipal securities, although a portion comes from municipal leases.

## ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

The Company reported total assets of $\$ 4.36$ billion at June 30 , 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 509.7$ million, or $13.22 \%$, over total assets of $\$ 3.85$ billion at December 31, 2003. Earning assets totaled $\$ 4.03$ billion at June 30, 2004, increasing $\$ 390.4$ million, or $10.72 \%$, over earning assets of $\$ 3.64$ billion at December 31, 2003. Total liabilities were $\$ 4.08$ billion at June 30, 2004, up $\$ 515.5$ million, or $14.45 \%$, over total liabilities of $\$ 3.57$ billion at December 31 , 2003. Total equity decreased $\$ 5.9$ million, or $2.05 \%$, to $\$ 280.9$ million at June 30, 2004, compared with total equity of $\$ 286.7$ million at December 31 , 2003.

## Investment Securities

The Company reported total investment securities of $\$ 2.12$ billion at June 30, 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 212.3$ million, or $11.15 \%$, over total investment securities of $\$ 1.90$ billion at December 31, 2003. Investment securities comprise $52.47 \%$ of the Company’s total earning assets at June 30 , 2004.

In accordance with SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities", securities held as "available-for-sale" are reported at current market value for financial reporting purposes. The market value, less the amortized cost of investment securities, net of income taxes, is adjusted directly to stockholders' equity. At June 30, 2004, securities held as available-for-sale had a fair market value of $\$ 2.07$ billion, representing $97.83 \%$ of total investment securities, with an amortized cost of $\$ 2.08$ billion. At June 30, 2004, the net unrealized holding loss on securities available-for-sale was $\$ 4.9$ million and that resulted in accumulated other comprehensive loss of $\$ 2.9$ million (net of $\$ 2.1$ million in deferred taxes benefits). At December 31, 2003, we reported net unrealized gains on investment securities available-for-sale of $\$ 29.8$ million and accumulated other comprehensive income of $\$ 17.3$ million (net of deferred taxes of $\$ 12.5$ million).

Table 3 sets forth investment securities at June 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003.

Table 3 - Composition of Investment Securities
(dollars in thousands)

| (1) | June 30, 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amortized Cost |  | Market Value |  | Net Unrealized Gain/(Loss) | Total Percent |  | Amortized Cost |  | Market Value |  | Net Unrealized Gain/(Loss) | Total Percent |
| Investment Securities Available-for-Sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ | \$ 500 | \$ | 501 | \$ | \$ 1 | 0.02\% | \$ | 500 | \$ | 503 | \$ | 3 | 0.03\% |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 1,346,551 |  | 1,330,749 |  | $(15,802)$ | 62.89\% |  | 1,175,461 |  | 1,176,512 |  | 1,051 | 61.79\% |
| CMO's / REMIC's |  | 388,887 |  | 386,077 |  | $(2,810)$ | 18.25\% |  | 291,474 |  | 293,771 |  | 2,297 | 15.43\% |
| Government agency securities |  | 28,957 |  | 28,617 |  | (340) | 1.35\% |  | 36,565 |  | 36,941 |  | 376 | 1.94\% |
| Municipal bonds |  | 251,231 |  | 265,235 |  | 14,004 | 12.53\% |  | 267,667 |  | 296,383 |  | 28,716 | 15.57\% |
| FHLMC preferred stock |  | 58,340 |  | 58,340 |  | -- | 2.76\% |  | 63,750 |  | 61,100 |  | $(2,650)$ | 3.21\% |
| Other securities |  | 572 |  | 572 |  | -- | 0.03\% |  | 572 |  | 572 |  | -- | 0.03\% |
| Total Investment Securities Available-for-Sale |  | 2,075,038 |  | 2,070,091 |  | $(4,947)$ | 97.83\% |  | 1,835,989 |  | 1,865,782 |  | 29,793 | 98.00\% |
| Investment in stock of Federal Home Loan Bank |  | 45,919 |  | 45,919 |  | -- | 2.17\% |  | 37,966 |  | 37,966 |  | -- | 2.00\% |
| Total Investment Securities | \$ | \$ 2,120,957 | \$ | 2,116,010 |  | \$ $(4,947)$ | 100.00\% |  | - 1,873,955 |  | - 1,903,748 |  | 29,793 | 100.00\% |

The weighted-average yield (TE) on the investment portfolio at June 30 , 2004 was $4.27 \%$ with a weighted-average life of 3.3 years. This compares to a yield of $4.31 \%$ at December 31, 2003 with a weighted-average life of 3.2 years and a yield of $4.64 \%$ at June 30,2003 with a weighted-average life of 2.7 years. The weighted average life is the average number of years that each dollar of unpaid principal due remains outstanding. Average life is computed as the weightedaverage time to the receipt of all future cash flows, using as the weights the dollar amounts of the principal paydowns.

Approximately $91.14 \%$ of the portfolio represents securities issued by the U.S government or U.S. government agencies, which guarantee payment of principal and interest.

The remaining CMO/REMICs are backed by agency-pooled collateral or whole loan collateral. All non-agency CMO/REMIC issues held are rated "A" or better by either Standard \& Poor's or Moody's, as of June 30, 2004.

| Composition of the Fair |  | ross Unre Less th |  | sses of Secu nths |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { s Available } \\ 12 \text { mor } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { r-Sa } \\ & \mathrm{s} \text { or } \end{aligned}$ | as of June 3 ger |  |  | tal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized ing Losses |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized ing Losses |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized ing Losses |
| Description of Securities |  |  |  |  |  | (amount | in | sands) |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$ | 728,565 | \$ | 10,633 | \$ | 443,553 | \$ | 14,048 | \$ | 1,172,118 | \$ | 24,681 |
| CMO/REMICs |  | 38,668 |  | 596 |  | -- |  | -- |  | 38,668 |  | 596 |
| Municipal bonds |  | 30,561 |  | 659 |  | 10,481 |  | 467 |  | 41,042 |  | 1,126 |
| Government agency securities |  | 17,551 |  | 429 |  | -- |  | -- |  | 17,551 |  | 429 |
|  | \$ | 815,345 | \$ | 12,317 | \$ | 454,034 | \$ | 14,515 | \$ | 1,269,379 | \$ | 26,832 |

The table above shows our investment securities' gross unrealized losses and fair value by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2004. We have reviewed individual securities classified as available-for-sale to determine whether a decline in fair value below the amortized cost basis is other-than-temporary. If it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of a debt security not impaired at acquisition, an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. If an other-thantemporary impairment occurs, the cost basis of the security is written down to its fair value as a new cost basis and the write down accounted for as a realized loss. As reported elsewhere, we recorded a write-down of $\$ 6.3$ million in the first quarter of 2004.

Despite the unrealized loss position of these securities, we have concluded, as of June 30, 2004, that these investments are not other-than-temporarily impaired. This assessment was based on the following factors: i) the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost; ii) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; iii) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in a security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value; and iv) general market conditions which reflect prospects for the economy as a whole, including interest rates and sector credit spreads.

At June 30, 2004 and 2003, investment securities having an amortized cost of approximately $\$ 1.43$ billion and $\$ 1.33$ million, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, short and long-term borrowings, and for other purposes as required or permitted by law.

## Loans

At June 30, 2004, we reported total loans, net of deferred loan fees, of $\$ 1.94$ billion. This represents an increase of $\$ 179.0$ million, or $10.17 \%$, over total loans, net of deferred loan fees, of $\$ 1.76$ billion at December 31, 2003. Total loans, net of deferred loan fees, comprise $48.08 \%$ of our total earning assets.
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Table } 4 \text { - Distribution of Loan Portfolio by Type (dollar amount in thousands) } \\ \text { June 30, 2004 }\end{array}\right)$

| $(22,140)$ <br> $(9,523)$ <br> $\$$ |
| :---: |
| $1,916,820$ |$\quad \$$| $(21,282)$ |
| ---: |
| $(7,392)$ |

Commercial and industrial loans are loans and leases to commercial entities to finance capital purchases or improvements, or to provide cash flow for operations. Real estate loans are loans secured by conforming second trust deeds on real property, including property under construction, commercial property and single family and multifamily residences. Consumer loans include installment loans to consumers as well as home equity loans and other loans secured by junior liens on real property. Municipal lease finance receivables are leases to municipalities. Agribusiness loans are loans to finance the operating needs of wholesale dairy farm operations, cattle feeders, livestock raisers, and farmers.

## Non-performing Assets

As set forth in Table 5, non-performing assets were $\$ 1.5$ million at June 30, 2004, an increase of $\$ 907,000$, or $165.49 \%$, from $\$ 548,000$ at December 31, 2003. Non-performing assets, include non-performing loans plus other real estate owned (foreclosed property), non-performing loans, include non-accrual loans, loans past due 90 or more days and still accruing, and restructured loans. $\$ 1.5$ million of these non-performing assets were included in impaired loans at June 30 , 2004. This represents an increase of $\$ 903,000$, or $157.91 \%$, compared to loans classified as impaired of $\$ 572,000$ at December 31, 2003.

Although we believe that non-performing assets are generally secured and that potential losses are provided for in the allowance for credit losses, there can be no assurance that future deterioration in economic conditions or collateral values would not result in future credit losses.

|  | June 30, 2004 |  | December 31, 2003 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accrual loans | \$ | 1,455 | \$ | 548 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more |  |  |  |  |
| and still accruing interest |  | -- |  | -- |
| Restructured loans |  | -- |  | -- |
| Other real estate owned (OREO), net |  | -- |  | -- |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ | 1,455 | \$ | 548 |
| Percentage of non-performing assets |  |  |  |  |
| to total loans outstanding and OREO |  | 0.07\% |  | 0.03\% |
| Percentage of non-performing assets to total assets |  | 0.03\% |  | 0.01\% |

Except for non-performing loans as set forth in Table 5 and loans disclosed as impaired, (see "Risk Management - Credit Risk" herein) we are not aware of any loans as of June 30, 2004 for which known credit problems of the borrower would cause serious doubts as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with their present loan repayment terms, or any known events that would result in the loan being designated as non-performing at some future date. We cannot, however, predict the extent to which the deterioration in general economic conditions, real estate values, increase in general rates of interest, change in the financial conditions or business of a borrower may adversely affect a borrower's ability to pay.

At June 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, the Company held no properties as other real estate owned.

## Bank Owned Life Insurance

The Company has Bank Owned Life Insurance ("BOLI") with a cash surrender value of $\$ 66.3$ million. We acquired $\$ 16.3$ million of this through acquisitions. In addition, we purchased $\$ 50.0$ million in the first quarter of 2004 as single premium insurance. The proceeds from these policies are used to help offset employee benefits costs.

## Deposits

The primary source of funds to support earning assets (loans and investments) is the generation of deposits from our customer base. The ability to grow the customer base and subsequently deposits is a significant element in the performance of our Company. Growth in deposits is due primarily to the marketing and sales efforts of our employees. By building on customer relationships, we are able to enhance and grow these relationships.

At June 30, 2004, total deposits were $\$ 2.83$ billion, representing an increase of $\$ 171.1$ million, or $6.43 \%$, from total deposits of $\$ 2.66$ billion at December 31, 2003. Average total deposits for the first six months of 2004 were $\$ 2.68$ billion. This represented an increase of $\$ 365.1$ million, or $15.77 \%$, from average total deposits of $\$ 2.32$ billion for the six months ended June 30, 2003. The comparison of average balances for the first six months of 2004 has historically been more representative of our Company's growth in deposits as it excludes the historical seasonal peak in deposits at year-end. The composition of deposits is as follows:

| Non-interest bearing deposits | June 30, 2004 |  |  | December 31, 2003 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Amounts in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | \$ | 1,291,278 | 45.6\% | \$ | 1,142,330 | 42.9\% |
| Interest bearing deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings Deposits |  | 1,032,659 | 36.5\% |  | 960,023 | 36.1\% |
| Time deposits |  | 507,666 | 17.9\% |  | 558,157 | 21.0\% |
| Total deposits | \$ | 2,831,603 | 100.0\% | \$ | 2,660,510 | 100.0\% |

The amount of non-interest-bearing demand deposits in relation to total deposits is an integral element in achieving a low cost of funds. Demand deposits totaled $\$ 1.29$ billion at June 30, 2004, representing an increase of $\$ 148.9$ million, or $13.04 \%$, from total demand deposits of $\$ 1.14$ billion at December 31, 2003. Average demand deposits for the first six months of 2004 were $\$ 1.15$ billion, an increase of $\$ 172.3$ million, or $17.64 \%$, from average demand deposits of $\$ 975.1$ million for the first six months of 2003. Non-interest-bearing demand deposits represented $45.60 \%$ of total deposits as of June 30, 2004 and $42.94 \%$ of total deposits as of December 31, 2003.

Savings deposits, which include savings, interest-bearing demand, and money market accounts, totaled $\$ 1.03$ billion at June 30, 2004, representing an increase of $\$ 72.6$ million, or $7.57 \%$, from savings deposits of $\$ 960.0$ million at December 31, 2003.

Time deposits totaled $\$ 507.7$ million at June 30 , 2004 of which $\$ 30.3$ million were brokered. This represented a decrease of $\$ 50.5$ million, or $9.05 \%$, over total time deposits of $\$ 558.2$ million at December 31, 2003.

## Other Borrowed Funds

We require funds to achieve the desired growth in earning assets and to fully utilize our capital. The first source of funds we pursue is non-interest-bearing deposits (the lowest cost of funds to the Company). The next source of fund is interest-bearing deposits. Finally we supplement the growth in deposits with borrowed funds. Average borrowed funds, as a percent of average total funding (total deposits plus demand notes plus borrowed funds) was $26.98 \%$ as of June 30 , 2004, as compared to $21.65 \%$ as of December 31, 2003.

During 2004 and 2003, we entered into short-term borrowing agreements (borrowings with maturities of less than one year) with the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) and other institutions. The Bank had outstanding balances of $\$ 519.7$ million and $\$ 405.5$ million under these agreements at June 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. The weighted average annual interest rate was $1.25 \%$ and $1.73 \%$ at June 30,2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. The FHLB holds certain investment securities of the Bank as collateral for these borrowings.

We also entered into long-term borrowing agreements (borrowings with maturities of one year or longer) with the FHLB. We had outstanding balances of $\$ 600.0$ million and $\$ 381.0$ million under these agreements at June 30,2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. The weighted average annual interest rate was $3.05 \%$ and $3.37 \%$ at June 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. The FHLB holds certain investment securities of the Bank as collateral for these borrowings.

In December 2003, CVB Financial Corp. created two statutory trusts, CVB Statutory Trust I and CVB Statutory Trust II, for the exclusive purpose of issuing and selling Trust Preferred Securities. These Trusts used the gross proceeds from the offering of Trust Preferred Securities and other cash totaling $\$ 82.5$ million to purchase a like amount of junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The Company contributed the $\$ 80.0$ million net proceeds to the Bank to capitalize the growth of the Bank. For additional information concerning these Trusts, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Capital Resources." contained in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.

We have entered into an agreement, known as the Treasury Tax \& Loan ("TT\&L") Note Option Program with the Federal Reserve Bank and the U.S. Department of Treasury in which federal tax deposits made by depositors can be held by the bank until called (withdrawn) by the U.S. Department of Treasury. On June 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003 the amounts held by the Bank in the TT\&L Note Option Program were $\$ 2.4$ million and $\$ 3.8$ million, collateralized by securities, respectively. The amounts are payable on demand. We borrow these funds at a variable rate of 25 basis points less than the average weekly federal funds rate.

At June 30, 2004, borrowed funds totaled $\$ 1.12$ billion, representing an increase of $\$ 333.2$ million, or $42.36 \%$, from total borrowed funds of $\$ 786.5$ million at December 31, 2003.

## Aggregate Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our aggregate contractual obligations as of June 30, 2004:

|  | Total |  | Maturity by Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Less Than One Year |  | One Year to Three Years |  | Four Year to Five Years |  | After Five Years |  |
|  | (amounts in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 2,831,603 | \$ | 2,781,786 | \$ | 47,571 | \$ | 2,234 | \$ | 12 |
| FHLB and Other Borrowings |  | 1,122,131 |  | 522,131 |  | 500,000 |  | -- |  | 100,000 |
| Junior Subordinated Debentures |  | 82,476 |  | -- |  | -- |  | -- |  | 82,476 |
| Deferred Compensation |  | 7,440 |  | 889 |  | 1,593 |  | 1,552 |  | 3,406 |
| Operating Leases |  | 12,239 |  | 5,037 |  | 4,243 |  | 2,243 |  | 716 |
| Total | \$ | 4,055,889 | \$ | 3,309,843 | \$ | 553,407 | \$ | 6,029 | \$ | 186,610 |

Deposits represent non-interest bearing, money market, savings, NOW, certificates of deposits, brokered and all other deposits.

FHLB borrowings represent the amounts that are due to the Federal Home Loan Bank. These borrowings have fixed maturity dates. Other borrowings represent the amounts that are due to overnight Federal funds purchases and TT\&L.

Junior subordinated debentures represent the amounts that are due from the Company to CVB Statutory Trust I \& CVB Statutory Trust II. The debentures have the same maturity as the Trust Preferred Securities, which mature in 2033, but become callable in whole or in part in 2008.

Deferred compensation represents the amounts that are due to former employees' salary continuation agreements as a result of acquisitions.
Operating leases represent the total minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At June 30, 2004, we had commitments to extend credit of approximately $\$ 758.2$ million and obligations under letters of credit of $\$ 61.1$ million and available lines of credit totaling $\$ 216.1$ million from certain institutions. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to customers, provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Commitments are generally variable rate, and many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon. As such, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Bank uses the same credit underwriting policies in granting or accepting such commitments or contingent obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments, which consist of evaluating customers' creditworthiness individually.

Standby letters of credit written are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the financial performance of a customer to a second party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. When deemed necessary, the Bank holds appropriate collateral supporting those commitments. We do not anticipate any material losses as a result of these transactions.

The following table summarizes the off-balance sheet arrangements at June 30, 2004:

|  |  |  |  |  | uri | by Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | Less Than One Year |  | One Year to Three Years |  | Four Year to Five Years |  | After Five Years |
|  |  |  |  | ( am | nts | in thousan |  |  |  |  |
| Available lines of credit | \$ | 216,051 | \$ | 216,051 | \$ | -- | \$ | -- | \$ | -- |
| Commitment to extend credit |  | 758,175 |  | 356,203 |  | 39,990 |  | 64,820 |  | 297,162 |
| Obligations under letters of credit |  | 61,101 |  | 34,452 |  | 20,763 |  | 5,886 |  | -- |
| Total | \$ | 1,035,327 | \$ | 606,706 | \$ | 60,753 | \$ | 70,706 | \$ | 297,162 |

## Liquidity and Cash Flow

Since the primary sources and uses of funds for the Bank are loans and deposits, the relationship between gross loans and total deposits provides a useful measure of the Bank's liquidity. Typically, the closer the ratio of loans to deposits is to $100 \%$, the more reliant the Bank is on its loan portfolio to provide for short-term liquidity needs. Since repayment of loans tends to be less predictable than the maturity of investments and other liquid resources, the higher the loans to deposit ratio the less liquid are the Bank’s assets. For the first six months of 2004, the Bank's loan to deposit ratio averaged 67.45\%, compared to an average ratio of $62.97 \%$ for the same period in 2003.

CVB is a company separate and apart from the Bank that must provide for its own liquidity. Substantially all of CVB's revenues are obtained from dividends declared and paid by the Bank. The remaining cashflow is from rents paid by third parties on office space in the Company's corporate headquarters. There are statutory and regulatory provisions that could limit the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to CVB. At June 30, 2004, approximately $\$ 71.5$ million of the Bank's equity was unrestricted and available to be paid as dividends to CVB. Management of CVB believes that such restrictions will not have an impact on the ability of CVB to meet its ongoing cash obligations.

For the Bank, sources of funds normally include principal and interest payments on loans and investments, other borrowed funds, and growth in deposits. Uses of funds include withdrawal of deposits, interest paid on deposits and borrowings, increased loan balances, purchases, and other operating expenses.

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled $\$ 49.1$ million for the first six months of 2004, compared to $\$ 35.4$ million for the same period last year. The increase was primarily the result of the interest received, and a reduction in cash paid to suppliers and employees.

Net cash used in investing activities totaled $\$ 485.9$ million for the first six months of 2004, compared to $\$ 481.6$ million used by investing activities for the same period in 2003. The decrease was primarily the result of a decrease in the purchase of investment securities and an increase in loans, offset by the proceeds of repayment of investment securities.

Funds provided by financing activities totaled $\$ 489.7$ million for the first six months of 2004, compared to funds provided by financing activities of $\$ 395.3$ million for the same period last year. The decrease in net cash provided by financing activities was primarily the result of decrease in short-term borrowings during the period.

At June 30, 2004, cash and cash equivalents totaled $\$ 165.0$ million. This represented an increase of $\$ 51.0$ million, or $44.71 \%$, from a total of $\$ 114.0$ million at June 30, 2003.

## Capital Resources

Historically, our primary source of capital has been the retention of operating earnings. In order to ensure adequate levels of capital, we conduct an ongoing assessment of projected sources and uses of capital in conjunction with projected increases in assets and the level of risk.

The Bank and the Company are required to meet risk-based capital standards set by their respective regulatory authorities. The risk-based capital standards require the achievement of a minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of $8.0 \%$ (of which at least $4.0 \%$ must be Tier 1 capital). In addition, the regulatory authorities require the highest rated institutions to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of $4.0 \%$. At June 30, 2004, the Bank and the Company exceeded the minimum risk-based capital ratio and leverage ratio required to be considered "Well Capitalized".

On July 2, 2003, the Federal Reserve Bank issued Supervisory Letter SR 03-13 clarifying that bank holding companies should continue to report trust preferred securities in accordance with current Federal Reserve Bank instructions which allows trust preferred securities to be counted in Tier I capital subject to certain limitations. The Federal Reserve has indicated it will review the implications of any accounting treatment changes and, if necessary or warranted, will provide appropriate guidance. On May 6, 2004, the Federal Reserve Bank released a proposed rule that would retain trust preferred securities in Tier I capital of bank holding companies, but with stricter quantitative limits and clearer qualitative standards.

The Company’s equity capital was $\$ 280.9$ million at June 30, 2004. This represented a decrease of $\$ 5.9$ million, or $2.05 \%$ over equity capital of $\$ 286.7$ million at December 31, 2003. The Company’s 2003 Annual Report on Form 10-K (Management's Discussion and Analysis and Note 16 of the accompanying financial statements) describes the regulatory capital requirements of the Company and the Bank.

Table 6 below presents the Company's and the Bank's risk-based and leverage capital ratios as of June 30, 2004, and December 31, 2003.

Table 6 - Regulatory Capital Ratios

|  | Required <br> Minimum <br> Ratios | June 30, 2004 |  | December 31, 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Company Bank |  | Company Bank |  |

## RISK MANAGEMENT

We have adopted a Risk Management Plan to ensure the proper control and management of all risk factors inherent in the operation of the Company and the Bank. Specifically, credit risk, interest rate risk, liquidity risk, transaction risk, compliance risk, strategic risk, reputation risk, price risk and foreign exchange risk, can all affect the market risk exposure of the Company. These specific risk factors are not mutually exclusive. It is recognized that any product or service offered by us may expose the Bank to one or more of these risks.

## Credit Risk

Credit risk is defined as the risk to earnings or capital arising from an obligor's failure to meet the terms of any contract or otherwise fail to perform as agreed. Credit risk is found in all activities where success depends on counter party, issuer, or borrower performance. Credit risk arises through the extension of loans and leases, certain securities, and letters of credit.

Credit risk in the investment portfolio and correspondent bank accounts is addressed through defined limits in the Bank's policy statements. In addition, certain securities carry insurance to enhance credit quality of the bond. Limitations on industry concentration, aggregate customer borrowings, geographic boundaries and standards on loan quality also are designed to reduce loan credit risk. Senior Management, Directors’ Committees, and the Board of Directors are provided with information to appropriately identify, measure, control and monitor the credit risk of the Bank.

Implicit in lending activities is the risk that losses will occur and that the amount of such losses will vary over time. Consequently, we maintain an allowance for credit losses by charging a provision for credit losses to earnings. Loans determined to be losses are charged against the allowance for credit losses. Our allowance for credit losses is maintained at a level considered by us to be adequate to provide for estimated probable losses inherent in the existing portfolio, and unused commitments to provide financing, including commitments under commercial and standby letters of credit.

The allowance for credit losses is based upon estimates of probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. The nature of the process by which we determine the appropriate allowance for credit losses requires the exercise of considerable judgment. The amount actually observed in respect of these losses can vary significantly from the estimated amounts. We employ a systematic methodology that is intended to reduce the differences between estimated and actual losses.

Our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance is conducted on a regular basis and considers all loans. The systematic methodology consists of two major elements.

The first major element includes a detailed analysis of the loan portfolio in two phases. The first phase is conducted in accordance with SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for the Impairment of a Loan", as amended by SFAS No. 118, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan - Income Recognition and Disclosures." Individual loans are reviewed to identify loans for impairment. A loan is impaired when principal and interest are deemed uncollectable in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan. Impairment is measured as either the expected future cash flows discounted at each loan's effective interest rate, the fair value of the loan's collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, or an observable market price of the loan (if one exists). Upon measuring the impairment, we will insure an appropriate level of allowance is present or established.

Central to the first phase and our credit risk management is its loan risk rating system. The originating credit officer assigns borrowers an initial risk rating, which is reviewed and possibly changed by Credit Management, which is based primarily on a thorough analysis of each borrower's financial capacity in conjunction with industry and economic trends. Approvals are made based upon the amount of inherent credit risk specific to the transaction and are reviewed for appropriateness by senior line and credit management personnel. Credits are monitored by line and credit management personnel for deterioration in a borrower's financial condition, which would impact the ability of the borrower to perform under the contract. Risk ratings are adjusted as necessary.

Based on the risk rating system, specific allowances are established in cases where we have identified significant conditions or circumstances related to a credit that we believe indicates the probability that a loss has been incurred. We perform a detailed analysis of these loans, including, but not limited to, cash flows, appraisals of the collateral, conditions of the marketplace for liquidating the collateral and assessment of the guarantors. We then determine the inherent loss potential and allocate a portion of the allowance for losses as a specific allowance for each of these credits.

The second phase is conducted by evaluating or segmenting the remainder of the loan portfolio into groups or pools of loans with similar characteristics in accordance with SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies." In this second phase, groups or pools of homogeneous loans are reviewed to determine a portfolio formula allowance. In the case of the portfolio formula allowance, homogeneous portfolios, such as small business loans, consumer loans, agricultural loans, and real estate loans, are aggregated or pooled in determining the appropriate allowance. The risk assessment process in this case emphasizes trends in the different portfolios for delinquency, loss, and other-behavioral characteristics of the subject portfolios.

The second major element in our methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance consists of our considerations of all known relevant internal and external factors that may affect a loan's collectibility. This includes our estimates of the amounts necessary for concentrations, economic uncertainties, the volatility of the market value of collateral, and other relevant factors. The relationship of the two major elements of the allowance to the total allowance may fluctuate from period to period.

In the second major element of the analysis which considers all known relevant internal and external factors that may affect a loan's collectibility, we perform an evaluation of various conditions, the effects of which are not directly measured in the determination of the formula and specific allowances. The evaluation of the inherent loss with respect to these conditions is subject to a higher degree of uncertainty because they are not identified with specific problem credits or portfolio segments. The conditions evaluated in connection with the second element of the analysis of the allowance include, but are not limited to, the following conditions that existed as of the balance sheet date:

- existing general economic and business conditions affecting the key lending areas of the Company,
- existing economic and business conditions of areas outside the lending areas, such as other sections of the United States, Asia and Latin America,
- credit quality trends (including trends in non-performing loans expected to result from existing conditions),

```
- loan volumes and concentrations,
- seasoning of the loan portfolio,
_ specific industry conditions within portfolio segments,
- recent loss experience in particular segments of the portfolio,
- duration of the current business cycle,
_ bank regulatory examination results and
- findings of the Company's internal credit examiners.
```

We review these conditions in discussion with our senior credit officers. To the extent that any of these conditions is evidenced by a specifically identifiable problem credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, our estimate of the effect of such condition may be reflected as a specific allowance applicable to such credit or portfolio segment. Where any of these conditions is not evidenced by a specifically identifiable problem credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, our evaluation of the inherent loss related to such condition is reflected in the second major element of the allowance. Although we have allocated a portion of the allowance to specific loan categories, the adequacy of the allowance must be considered in its entirety.

We maintain an allowance for probable credit losses that is increased by a provision for credit losses charged against operating results. The allowance for credit losses is also increased by recoveries on loans previously charged off and reduced by actual loan losses charged to the allowance. There was no provision for credit losses during the first six months of 2004 and 2003. The determination of the provision for credit losses is based on an analysis of the loan and lease finance receivables portfolio using a systematic methodology and reflects an amount that, in our judgment, is adequate to provide for an allowance for credit losses to provide for probable credit losses inherent in the portfolio, after giving consideration to the character of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions, past credit loss experience, and such other factors as deserve current recognition in estimating inherent credit losses. In our judgment the allowance for credit losses at its current level is adequate, therefore, no additional provision was provided.

At June 30, 2004, we reported an allowance for credit losses of $\$ 22.1$ million. This represented an increase of $\$ 858,000$, or $4.03 \%$, from the allowance for credit losses of $\$ 21.3$ million at December 31, 2003. The increase is due to recoveries exceeding charge-offs for the second quarter of 2004.

At June 30, 2004, we had loans classified as impaired totaling $\$ 1.5$ million. This represents an increase of $\$ 903,000$, or $157.91 \%$, compared to loans classified as impaired of $\$ 572,000$ at December 31, 2003. Impaired loans measured, as a percent of gross loans equaled $0.07 \%$ and $0.03 \%$, at June 30 , 2004 and December 31, 2003 respectively.

Non-performing loans, which include non-accrual loans, loans past due 90 or more days and still accruing, impaired loans, and restructured loans, totaled $\$ 1.5$ million at June 30, 2004. This represented an increase of $\$ 907,000$, or $165.49 \%$, from non-performing loans of $\$ 548,000$ at December 31 , 2003. Nonperforming loans measured as a percent of gross loans, equaled $0.08 \%$ and $0.03 \%$, at June 30, 2004 and December 31, 2003, respectively. Nonaccrual loans increased $\$ 907,000$, or $165.49 \%$, to $\$ 1.5$ million at June 30, 2004, from \$548,000 at December 31, 2003.

TABLE 7 - Summary of Credit Loss Experience


While we believe that the allowance at June 30, 2004, was adequate to absorb losses from any known or inherent risks in the portfolio, no assurance can be given that economic conditions or natural disasters which adversely affect our service areas or other circumstances will not be reflected in increased provisions or credit losses in the future.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

## Market Risk

In the normal course of its business activities, we are exposed to market risks, including price and liquidity risk. Market risk is the potential of loss from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates (interest rate risk). Liquidity risk arises from the possibility that we may not be able to satisfy current or future commitments or that we may be more reliant on alternative funding sources such as long-term debt. Financial products that expose us to market risk include securities, loans, deposits, and debts.

## Interest Rate Risk

During periods of changing interest rates, the ability to reprice interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities can influence net interest income, the net interest margin, and consequently, our earnings. Interest rate risk is managed by attempting to control the spread between rates earned on interest-earning assets and the rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities within the constraints imposed by market competition in the Bank's service area. Short-term repricing risk is minimized by controlling the level of floating rate loans and maintaining a downward sloping ladder of bond payments and maturities. Basis risk is managed by the timing and magnitude of changes to interest-bearing deposit rates. Yield curve risk is reduced by keeping the duration of the loan and bond portfolios balanced to attempt to minimize the risks of rising or falling yields. Options risk in the bond portfolio is monitored monthly and actions are recommended when appropriate.

We monitor the interest rate "sensitivity" risk to earnings from potential changes in interest rates using various methods, including a maturity/repricing gap analysis. This analysis measures, at specific time intervals, the differences between earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for which repricing opportunities will occur. A positive difference, or gap, indicates that earning assets will reprice faster than interest-bearing liabilities. This will generally produce a greater net interest margin during periods of rising interest rates, and a lower net interest margin during periods of declining interest rates. Conversely, a negative gap will generally produce a lower net interest margin during periods of rising interest rates and a greater net interest margin during periods of decreasing interest rates.

The interest rates paid on deposit accounts do not always move in unison with the rates charged on loans. In addition, the magnitude of changes in the rates charged on loans is not always proportionate to the magnitude of changes in the rate paid for deposits. Consequently, changes in interest rates do not necessarily result in an increase or decrease in the net interest margin solely as a result of the differences between repricing opportunities of earning assets or interest-bearing liabilities. In general, when we report a positive gap in the short-term period and negative gap in the long-term period does not necessarily indicate that, if interest rates decreased, net interest income would increase, or if interest rates increased, net interest income would decrease.

Approximately $\$ 1.72$ billion, or $81.14 \%$, of the total investment portfolio at June 30,2004 consisted of securities backed by mortgages. The final maturity of these securities can be affected by the speed at which the underlying mortgages repay. Mortgages tend to repay faster as interest rates fall, and slower as interest rates rise. As a result, we may be subject to a "prepayment risk" resulting from greater funds available for reinvestment at a time when available yields are lower. Conversely, we may be subject to "extension risk" resulting from lesser amounts available for reinvestment at a time when available yields are higher. Prepayment risk includes the risk associated with the payment of an investment's principal faster than originally intended. Extension risk is the risk associated with the payment of an investment's principal over a longer time period than originally anticipated. In addition, there can be greater risk of price volatility for mortgage-backed securities as a result of anticipated prepayment or extension risk.

We also utilize the results of a dynamic simulation model to quantify the estimated exposure of net interest income to sustained interest rate changes. The sensitivity of our net interest income is measured over a rolling two-year horizon.

The simulation model estimates the impact of changing interest rates on the interest income from all interest-earning assets and the interest expense paid on all interest-bearing liabilities reflected on the Company's balance sheet. This sensitivity analysis is compared to policy limits, which specify a maximum tolerance level for net interest income exposure over a one-year horizon assuming no balance sheet growth, given both a 200 basis point upward and downward shift in interest rates. A parallel and pro rata shift in rates over a 12-month period is assumed.

The following depicts the Company's net interest income sensitivity analysis as of June 30, 2004:

| Simulated <br> Rate Changes | Estimated Net <br> Interest Income <br> Sensitivity |
| :---: | :---: |
| +200 basis points | $(3.10 \%)$ |
| -200 basis points | $(1.07 \%)$ |

The estimated sensitivity does not necessarily represent our forecast and the results may not be indicative of actual changes to our net interest income. These estimates are based upon a number of assumptions including: the nature and timing of interest rate levels including yield curve shape, prepayments on loans and securities, pricing strategies on loans and deposits, and replacement of asset and liability cash flows. While the assumptions used are based on current economic and local market conditions, there is no assurance as to the predictive nature of these conditions including how customer preferences or competitor influences might change.

## Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk to earnings or capital resulting from our inability to meet its obligations when they come due without incurring unacceptable losses. It includes the ability to manage unplanned decreases or changes in funding sources and to recognize or address changes in market conditions that affect our ability to liquidate assets quickly and with minimum loss of value. Factors considered in liquidity risk management are stability of the deposit base; marketability, maturity, and pledging of investments; and the demand for credit.

In general, liquidity risk is managed daily by controlling the level of fed funds and the use of funds provided by the cash flow from the investment portfolio. To meet unexpected demands, lines of credit are maintained with correspondent banks, the Federal Home Loan Bank and the Federal Reserve Bank. The sale of bonds maturing in the near future can also serve as a contingent source of funds. Increases in deposit rates are considered a last resort as a means of raising funds to increase liquidity.

## Transaction Risk

Transaction risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from problems in service or product delivery. This risk is significant within any bank and is interconnected with other risk categories in most activities throughout the Bank. Transaction risk is a function of internal controls, information systems, associate integrity, and operating processes. It arises daily throughout the Bank as transactions are processed. It pervades all divisions, departments and branches and is inherent in all products and services we offer.

In general, transaction risk is defined as high, medium or low by the internal auditors during the audit process. The audit plan ensures that high-risk areas are reviewed at least annually.

The key to monitoring transaction risk is in the design, documentation and implementation of well-defined procedures. All transaction related procedures include steps to report events that might increase transaction risk. Dual controls are also a form of monitoring.

## Compliance Risk

Compliance risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from violations of, or non-conformance with, laws, rules, regulations, prescribed practices, or ethical standards. Compliance risk also arises in situations where the laws or rules governing certain Bank products or activities of the Bank's customers may be ambiguous or untested. Compliance risk exposes us to fines, civil money penalties, payment of damages, and the voiding of contracts. Compliance risk can also lead to a diminished reputation, reduced business value, limited business opportunities, lessened expansion potential, and lack of contract enforceability.

There is no single or primary source of compliance risk. It is inherent in every Bank activity. Frequently, it blends into operational risk and transaction processing. A portion of this risk is sometimes referred to as legal risk. This is not limited solely to risk from failure to comply with consumer protection laws; it encompasses all laws, as well as prudent ethical standards and contractual obligations. It also includes the exposure to litigation from all aspects of banking, traditional and non-traditional.

Our Compliance Management Policy and Program and the Code of Ethical Conduct are the cornerstone for controlling compliance risk. An integral part of controlling this risk is the proper training of associates. The Compliance Officer is responsible for developing and executing a comprehensive compliance training program. The Compliance Officer will ensure that each associate receives adequate training with regard to their position to ensure that laws and regulations are not violated. All associates who deal in compliance high risk areas are trained to be knowledgeable about the level and severity of exposure in those areas and the policies and procedures in place to control such exposure.

Our Compliance Management Policy and Program includes an audit program aimed at identifying problems and ensuring that problems are corrected. The audit program includes two levels of review. One is in-depth audits performed by an external firm and the other is periodic monitoring performed by the Compliance Officer.

We utilize an external firm to conduct compliance audits as a means of identifying weaknesses in the compliance program itself. The external firm's audit plan includes a periodic review of each branch and department of the Bank.

The branch or department that is the subject of an audit is required to respond to the audit and correct any violations noted. The Compliance Officer will review audit findings and the response provided by the branch or department to identify areas which pose a significant compliance risk.

The Compliance Officer conducts periodic monitoring of our compliance efforts with a special focus on those areas that expose us to compliance risk. The purpose of the periodic monitoring is to ensure that our associates are adhering to established policies and procedures adopted by the Bank. The Compliance Officer will notify the appropriate department head and the Compliance Committee of any violations noted. The branch or department that is the subject of the review will be required to respond to the findings and correct any noted violations.

We recognize that customer complaints can often identify weaknesses in our compliance program which could expose the Bank to risk. Therefore, all complaints are given prompt attention. Our Compliance Management Policy and Program includes provisions on how customer complaints are to be addressed. The Compliance Officer reviews all complaints to determine if a significant compliance risk exists and communicates those findings to Senior Management.

## Strategic Risk

Strategic risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from adverse decisions or improper implementation of strategic decisions. This risk is a function of the compatibility between an organization's goals, the resources deployed against those goals and the quality of implementation.

Strategic risks are identified as part of the strategic planning process. Offsite strategic planning sessions are held annually. The strategic review consists of an economic assessment, competitive analysis, industry outlook and legislative and regulatory review.

A primary measurement of strategic risk is peer group analysis. Key performance ratios are compared to three separate peer groups to identify any sign of weakness and potential opportunities. The peer group consists of:

1. All banks of comparable size
2. High performing banks
3. A list of specific banks

Another measure is the comparison of the actual results of previous strategic initiatives against the expected results established prior to implementation of each strategy.

The corporate strategic plan is formally presented to all branch managers and department managers at an annual leadership conference.

## Reputation Risk

Reputation risk is the risk to capital and earnings arising from negative public opinion. This affects our ability to establish new relationships or services, or continue servicing existing relationships. It can expose us to litigation and, in some instances, financial loss.

## Price and Foreign Exchange Risk

Price risk arises from changes in market factors that affect the value of traded instruments. Foreign exchange risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from movements in foreign exchange rates.

Our current exposure to price risk is nominal. Consequently, the level of price risk within the investment portfolio is limited to the need to sell securities for reasons other than trading. The section of this policy pertaining to liquidity risk addresses this risk.

We maintain deposit accounts with various foreign banks. Our Interbank Liability Policy limits the balance in any of these accounts to an amount that does not present a significant risk to our earnings from changes in the value of foreign currencies.

Our asset liability model calculates the market value of the Bank's equity. In addition, management prepares on a monthly basis a Capital Volatility report that compares changes in the market value of the investment portfolio. We have as our target to always be well-capitalized by regulatory standards.

The Balance Sheet Management Policy requires the submission of a Fair Value Matrix Report to the Balance Sheet Management Committee on a quarterly basis. The report calculates the economic value of equity under different interest rate scenarios, revealing the level or price risk of the Bank's interest sensitive asset and liability portfolios.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain controls and procedures designed to ensure that information is recorded and reported in all filings of financial reports. Such information is reported to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer to allow timely and accurate disclosure based on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in SEC Rule 13a-15(e).

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and other senior management of the Company know as the Financial Review and Disclosure Committee. Based on the foregoing, the Company’s Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During our most recent fiscal quarter, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Not Applicable

## ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES, USE OF PROCEEDS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

In October 2001, the Company’s board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to 2.0 million shares (all share amounts will not be adjusted to reflect stock dividends and splits) of the Company's common stock. During the three months ended June 30, 2004, the Company repurchased 39,800 shares of common stock for the total price of $\$ 795,000$. All of the 39,800 shares were purchased in open market transactions. As of June $30,2004,1,451,196$ shares are available to be repurchased in the future under this repurchase plan.

The follow table provides the information with respect to the purchase made during the second quarter ended June 30, 2004:

| ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES <br> For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning <br> Date | Ending <br> Date | Total Number <br> of Shares <br> Purchased | Average Price <br> Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares purchased <br> as Part of Publicly Announced <br> Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that <br> May Yet Be Purchased Under the <br> Plans or Programs |  |  |
| April 1 | April 30 | -- | -- | -- | $1,490,996$ |  |  |
| May 1 | May 31 | 39,800 | $\$ ~ 19.20$ | 39,800 | $1,451,196$ |  |  |
| June 1 | June 30 | -- | -- | -- | $1,451,196$ |  |  |
|  | Total | 39,800 | $\$ 19.20$ | 39,800 |  |  |  |

## ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not Applicable

## ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On May 19, 2004, the Company held its 2004 annual meeting of shareholders. All of the Company's existing directors were reelected. Following distribution of the Company's proxy material the Company's then accountant, Deloitte \& Touche LLP, announced they had declined to stand for reelection. Accordingly, the Company did not submit the proposal to ratify the selection of Deloitte \& Touche LLP to a vote of its shareholders.

Election of Directors:

|  | For | $\underline{\text { Withheld }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| George A. Borba | $38,625,813$ | $3,407,244$ |
| John A. Borba | $38,034,919$ | $3,998,138$ |
| Ronald O. Kruse | $41,995,010$ | 38,047 |
| John J. LoPorto | $41,979,135$ | 53,922 |
| James C. Seley | $41,995,384$ | 37,673 |
| San Vaccaro | $41,867,765$ | 165,292 |
| D. Linn Wiley | $41,856,301$ | 176,756 |

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Not Applicable

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits

Exhibit 31.1 Certification of D. Linn Wiley pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Edward J. Biebrich, Jr. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
Exhibit 32.1 Certification of D. Linn Wiley pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 32.2 Certification of Edward J. Biebrich, Jr. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
(b) Reports on Form 8-K

During the quarter ended June 30, 2004, CVB Financial Corp. filed the following current reports on Form 8-K: (1) May 4, 2004, under Item 12 (announcing its first quarter results); (2) May 7, 2004 under item 4 (announcing that its then auditors would not stand for reeclection); and (3) June 21, 2004 under item 4 (announcing appointment of McGladrey \& Pullen, LLP, as new auditors).

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## CERTIFICATION

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
In connection with the Quarterly Report of CVB Financial Corp. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, D. Linn Wiley, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. $\S 1350$, as adopted pursuant to $\S 906$ of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of my knowledge that:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Date: August 6, 2004
/s/ D. Linn Wiley
D. Linn Wiley

Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO18
U.S.C. SECTION 1350,AS

ADOPTED PURSUANT TO

In connection with the Quarterly Report of CVB Financial Corp. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2004, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Edward J. Biebrich, Jr., Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of my knowledge that:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

## CERTIFICATION

## I, D. Linn Wiley, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of CVB Financial Corp.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and we have:
a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation;
c) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 6, 2004
/s/ D. Linn Wiley
D. Linn Wiley

Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2

## CERTIFICATION

I, Edward J. Biebrich, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of CVB Financial Corp.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and we have:
a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
b) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation;
c) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
